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One-One Functions

- A function is one-one if, for all $x, y$ in the domain of $f$, $f(x) = f(y)$ implies $x = y$.
- That is, if $x \neq y$, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$.
- Function $f(n) = n^2$ is one-one.
- Function $u_1^2(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ is not one-one as, for example, both $u_1^2(0, 0)$ and $u_1^2(0, 1)$ map to 0.
Onto Functions

▶ If the range of \( f \) is the set \( S \), then we say \( f \) is an onto function with respect to \( S \), or simply that \( f \) is onto \( S \).

▶ Function \( f(n) = n^2 \) is onto the set of perfect squares \( \{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \), but is not onto \( \mathbb{N} \).

▶ Let \( S_1 \times S_2 \) be domain of function \( u_1^2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \), then function \( u_1^2(x_1, x_2) \) is onto \( S_1 \).
Programs Accepting Any Number of Inputs

- We permit each program to be used with any number of inputs.
- If the program has $n$ input variables, but only $m < n$ are specified, the remaining $n - m$ input variables are assigned the value 0 and the computation proceeds.
- On the other hand, if $m > n$ values are specified, then the extra input values are ignored.
Programs Accepting Any Number of Inputs, Examples

- Consider the following program $\mathcal{P}$ that computes $x_1 + x_2$,

  $\begin{align*}
  Y & \leftarrow X_1 \\
  Z & \leftarrow X_2 \\
  [B] & \quad \text{IF } Z \neq 0 \text{ GOTO } A \\
  & \quad \text{GOTO } E \\
  [A] & \quad Z \leftarrow Z - 1 \\
  & \quad Y \leftarrow Y + 1 \\
  & \quad \text{GOTO } B
  \end{align*}$

- We have

  $\psi^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P}}(r_1) = r_1 + 0 = r_1$

  $\psi^{(3)}_{\mathcal{P}}(r_1, r_2, r_3) = r_1 + r_2$
Initial Functions

The following functions are called *initial functions*:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s(x) &= x + 1, \\
    n(x) &= 0, \\
    u^n_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) &= x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{align*}
\]

Note: Function \( u^n_i \) is called the *projection function*. For example, 
\( u^4_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_3 \).
Primitive Recursively Closed (PRC)

A class of total functions $\mathcal{C}$ is called a PRC class if

- the initial functions belong to $\mathcal{C}$,
- a function obtained from functions belonging to $\mathcal{C}$ by either composition or recursion also belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. 
Computable Functions are Primitive Recursively Closed

**Theorem 3.1.** The class of computable functions is a PRC class.

**Proof.** We have shown computable functions are closed under composition and recursion (Theorem 1.1 & 2.2). We need only verify the initial functions are computable. They are computed by the following programs.

\[
\begin{align*}
s(x) &= x + 1 \quad Y \leftarrow X + 1; \\
n(x) & \quad \text{the empty program;}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
u^n_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \quad Y \leftarrow X_i.
\end{align*}
\]
A function is called *primitive recursive* if it can be obtained from the initial functions by a finite number of applications of composition and recursion.
A function is called *primitive recursive* if it can be obtained from the initial functions by a finite number of applications of composition and recursion.

Note that, by the above definition and the definition of Primitive Recursively Closed (PRC), it follows that:

**Corollary 3.2.** The class of primitive recursive function is a PRC class.
Theorem 3.3. A function is primitive recursive if and only if it belongs to every PRC class.

Proof. (⇐) If a function belongs to every PRC class, then by Corollary 3.2, it belongs to the class of primitive recursive functions.

(⇒) If \( f \) is primitive recursive, then there is a list of functions \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) such that \( f_n = f \) and for each \( f_i, 1 \leq i < n \), either

- \( f_i \) is an initial function, or
- \( f_i \) can be obtained from the preceding functions in the list by composition or recursion.

However, the initial functions belong to any PRC class \( C \). Furthermore, all functions obtained from functions in \( C \) by composition or recursion also belong to \( C \). It follows that each function \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n = f \) in the above list is in \( C \).
Corollary 3.4. Every primitive recursive function is computable.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, every primitive recursive function belongs to the PRC class of computable functions so is computable. □
Corollary 3.4. Every primitive recursive function is computable.  
Proof. By Theorem 3.4, every primitive recursive function belongs to the PRC class of computable functions so is computable.  
Note that,

- If a function $f$ is shown to be primitive recursive, by the above Corollary, $f$ can be expressed as a program in language $\mathcal{S}$.
- Not only we know there is program in $\mathcal{S}$ for $f$, by Theorem 3.1 (1.1 & 2.2), we also know how to write this program.
- Furthermore, the program so written will always terminate.
Corollary 3.4. Every primitive recursive function is computable. 

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, every primitive recursive function belongs to the PRC class of computable functions so is computable. 

Note that,

- If a function $f$ is shown to be primitive recursive, by the above Corollary, $f$ can be expressed as a program in language $\mathcal{I}$.
- Not only we know there is program in $\mathcal{I}$ for $f$, by Theorem 3.1 (1.1 & 2.2), we also know how to write this program.
- Furthermore, the program so written will always terminate.

However, if a function $f$ is computable (that is, it is total and expressible in $\mathcal{I}$), it is not necessarily that $f$ is primitive recursive. (A counter example will be shown later in this course.)
Function $f(x, y) = x + y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $f$ can be defined by the recursion equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x, 0) &= x, \\
    f(x, y + 1) &= f(x, y) + 1.
\end{align*}
\]

The above can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x, 0) &= u_1^1(x), \\
    f(x, y + 1) &= g(y, f(x, y), x),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = s(u_2^3(x_1, x_2, x_3)).
\]
Function $h(x, y) = x \cdot y$ is Primitive Recursive

Function $h$ can be defined by the recursion equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    h(x, 0) &= 0, \\
    h(x, y + 1) &= h(x, y) + x.
\end{align*}
\]

The above can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
    h(x, 0) &= n(x), \\
    h(x, y + 1) &= g(y, h(x, y), x),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    g(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= f(u^3_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), u^3_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)), \\
    f(x, y) &= x + y.
\end{align*}
\]
Function $h(x) = x!$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $h(x)$ can be defined by

$$h(0) = 1,$$
$$h(t + 1) = g(t, h(t)),$$

where

$$g(x_1, x_2) = s(x_1) \cdot x_2.$$ 

Note that $g$ is primitive recursive because

$$g(x_1, x_2) = s(u_1^2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot u_2^2(x_1, x_2).$$
Function $power(x, y) = x^y$ is Primitive Recursive

Function $power$ can be defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
    power(x, 0) &= 1, \\
    power(x, y + 1) &= power(x, y) \cdot x.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that these equations assign the value 1 to the “indeterminate” $0^0$.

The above definition can be further rewritten into . . . .
The Predecessor Function Is Primitive Recursive

The predecessor function \( \text{pred}(x) \) is defined as follows:

\[
\text{pred}(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x - 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } x = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that function \( \text{pred} \) corresponds to the instruction \( X \leftarrow X - 1 \) in programming language \( \mathcal{L} \).

The above definition can be further rewritten into . . . .
Function $x \dot{-} y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $x \dot{-} y$ is defined as follows:

$$x \dot{-} y = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } x \geq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Note that function $x \dot{-} y$ is different from function $x - y$, which is undefined if $x < y$. In particular, $x \dot{-} y$ is total while $x - y$ is not.
Function $x \dot{-} y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $x \dot{-} y$ is defined as follows:

$$x \dot{-} y = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } x \geq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Note that function $x \dot{-} y$ is different from function $x - y$, which is undefined if $x < y$. In particular, $x \dot{-} y$ is total while $x - y$ is not.

Function $x \dot{-} y$ is primitive recursive because

$$x \dot{-} 0 = x,$$

$$x \dot{-} (t + 1) = \text{pred}(x \dot{-} t).$$

The above definition can be further rewritten into . . . .
Function $|x - y|$ is primitive recursive

Function $|x - y|$ can be defined as follows:

$$|x - y| = (x - y) + (y - x)$$
Function $|x - y|$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $|x - y|$ can be defined as follows:

$$|x - y| = (x - y) + (y - x)$$

It is primitive recursive because the above definition can be further rewritten into . . . .
Is Function $\alpha(x)$ below Primitive Recursive?

Function $\alpha(x)$ is defined as:

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\
0 & \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{cases}$$
Is Function $\alpha(x)$ below Primitive Recursive?

Function $\alpha(x)$ is defined as:

$$
\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\
0 & \text{if } x \neq 0. 
\end{cases}
$$

It is primitive recursive because . . . .
$x = y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Is the function $d(x, y)$ below primitive recursive?

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = y \\
0 & \text{if } x \neq y 
\end{cases}$$
$x = y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Is the function $d(x, y)$ below primitive recursive?

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

It is because $d(x, y) = \alpha(|x - y|)$. 
Is $x \leq y$ Primitive Recursive?
Is $x \leq y$ Primitive Recursive?

It is primitive recursive because $x \leq y = \alpha(x - y)$. 
Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursively Closed

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. If $P, Q$ are predicates that belong to $\mathcal{C}$, then so are $\sim P, P \lor Q$, and $P \land Q$. 


Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursively Closed

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. If $P$, $Q$ are predicates that belong to $\mathcal{C}$, then so are $\sim P$, $P \lor Q$, and $P \land Q$.

**Proof.** We define $\sim P$, $P \lor Q$, and $P \land Q$ as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sim P &= \alpha(P) \\
P \land Q &= P \cdot Q \\
P \lor Q &= \sim (\sim P \land \sim Q)
\end{align*}
\]
Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursively Closed

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a PRC class. If \( P, Q \) are predicates that belong to \( \mathcal{C} \), then so are \( \sim P, P \lor Q \), and \( P \land Q \).

**Proof.** We define \( \sim P, P \lor Q \), and \( P \land Q \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sim P & = \alpha(P) \\
P \land Q & = P \cdot Q \\
P \lor Q & = \sim (\sim P \land \sim Q)
\end{align*}
\]

We conclude that \( \sim P, P \lor Q \), and \( P \land Q \) all belong to \( \mathcal{C} \). \( \square \)
Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursive and Computable

**Corollary 5.2.** If $P$, $Q$ are primitive recursive predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \lor Q$, and $P \land Q$. 
Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursive and Computable

Corollary 5.2. If $P$, $Q$ are primitive recursive predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \lor Q$, and $P \land Q$.

Corollary 5.3. If $P$, $Q$ are computable predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \lor Q$, and $P \land Q$. 
Is $x < y$ Primitive Recursive?

It is primitive recursive because $x < y \iff \neg (y \leq x)$. 
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Is \( x < y \) Primitive Recursive?

It is primitive recursive because

\[ x < y \iff \neg (y \leq x). \]
Definition by Cases

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. Let functions $g$, $h$ and predicate $P$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$. Let function

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. 
Definition by Cases

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. Let functions $g$, $h$ and predicate $P$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$. Let function

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$.

**Proof.** Function $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ because

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdot P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) + h(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdot \alpha(P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)).$$
Definition by Cases, More

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. Let $n$-ary functions $g_1, \ldots, g_m, h$ and predicates $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$, and let

$$P_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \& P_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ and all $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. If

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 
  g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } P_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\
  \vdots & \\
  g_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } P_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\
  h(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

then $f$ also belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. 

Proof. Proved by a mathematical induction on $m$. 
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Definition by Cases, More

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. Let $n$-ary functions $g_1, \ldots, g_m, h$ and predicates $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ belong to $\mathcal{C}$, and let

$$P_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \& P_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ and all $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. If

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 
  g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } P_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  g_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } P_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\
  h(x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

then $f$ also belongs to $\mathcal{C}$.

**Proof.** Proved by a mathematical induction on $m$. 

\[\square\]
Iterated Operations

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. If function $f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, then so do the functions $g$ and $h$

$$g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{t=0}^{y} f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

$$h(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{t=0}^{y} f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$
Iterated Operations

Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. If function $f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, then so do the functions $g$ and $h$

$$g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{t=0}^{y} f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

$$h(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{t=0}^{y} f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

Proof. Functions $g$ and $h$ each can be recursively defined as

$$g(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$

$$g(t + 1, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = g(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) + f(t + 1, x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$

$$h(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n),$$

$$h(t + 1, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = h(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdot f(t + 1, x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$
Iterated Operations, More

**Corollary 6.2.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a PRC class. If function $f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, then so do the functions

$$g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{t=1}^{y} f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

and

$$h(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{t=1}^{y} f(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

In the above, we assume that

$$g(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0,$$

$$h(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1.$$
Bounded Quantifiers

**Theorem 6.3.** If predicate $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ belongs to some PRC class $\mathcal{C}$, then so do the predicates

$$
(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)
$$

and

$$
(\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)
$$
**Bounded Quantifiers**

**Theorem 6.3.** If predicate \( P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) belongs to some PRC class \( \mathcal{C} \), then so do the predicates

\[
(\forall t) \leq y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)
\]

and

\[
(\exists t) \leq y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)
\]

**Proof.** We need only observe that

\[
(\forall t) \leq y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \iff \prod_{t=0}^{y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1
\]

and

\[
(\exists t) \leq y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \iff \sum_{t=0}^{y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \neq 0
\]
Bounded Quantifiers, More

Note that

$$(\forall t)_y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \iff (\forall t)_{\leq y} [t = y \lor P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)],$$

and

$$(\exists t)_y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \iff (\exists t)_{\leq y} [t \neq y \land P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)].$$
Bounded Quantifiers, More

Note that

$$(\forall t)_y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow (\forall t)_{\leq y}[t = y \lor P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)],$$

and

$$(\exists t)_y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow (\exists t)_{\leq y}[t \neq y \land P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)].$$

Therefore, both the quantifiers $$(\forall t)_y$$ and $$(\exists t)_y$$ are primitive recursively closed.
The “\( y \) is a divisor of \( x \)” predicate \( y \mid x \) is primitive recursive because
y|x is Primitive Recursive

The “y is a divisor of x” predicate y|x is primitive recursive because

\[ y|x \iff (\exists t \leq x)(y \cdot t = x). \]
Prime(x) Is Primitive Recursive

The “x is a prime” predicate Prime(x) is primitive recursive because

Prime(x) ⇔ x > 1 & (∀t ≤ x) [t = 1 ∨ t = x ∨ ∼(t | x)].
Prime(\(x\)) Is Primitive Recursive

The “\(x\) is a prime” predicate \(\text{Prime}(x)\) is primitive recursive because

\[
\text{Prime}(x) \iff x > 1 \land (\forall t)_{\leq x}[t = 1 \lor t = x \lor \neg (t|x)]
\]
Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function $g$ do?

$$g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^{y} \prod_{t=0}^{u} \alpha(P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is true!

To see why, let $t_0 \leq y$ such that $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ for all $t < t_0$, but $P(t_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1$.

Then $\prod_{t=0}^{u} \alpha(P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_0. \end{cases}$

Hence $g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^{t_0} 1 = t_0$. 
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Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function $g$ do?

$$g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^{y} \prod_{t=0}^{u} \alpha(P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is true!

To see why, let $t_0 \leq y$ such that

$$P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < t_0,$$

but

$$P(t_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1$$

Then

$$\prod_{t=0}^{u} \alpha(P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_0. \end{cases}$$
Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function $g$ do?

$$g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^{y} \prod_{t=0}^{u} \alpha(P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is true!

To see why, let $t_0 \leq y$ such that

$$P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < t_0,$$

but

$$P(t_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1$$

Then

$$\prod_{t=0}^{u} \alpha(P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_0. \end{cases}$$

Hence $g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{u<t_0} 1 = t_0$. 
Bounded Minimalization, Continued

Define

\[
\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 
  g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } (\exists t) \leq y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n), \\
  0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
Bounded Minimalization, Continued

Define

$$\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } (\exists t) \leq y P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is true, if such exists; otherwise it assumes the (default) value 0.
Bounded Minimalization, Continued

Define

$$\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(y, x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \text{if } (\exists t \leq y) P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is true, if such exists; otherwise it assumes the (default) value 0.

**Theorem 7.1.** $\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is in PRC class $\mathcal{C}$ if $P(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Proof.** By Theorems 5.4 and 6.3.
\[[x/y] \text{ is Primitive Recursive}\]

\[[x/y] \text{ is the “integer part” of the quotient } x/y.\]
\[ x/y \] Is Primitive Recursive

\[ x/y \] is the “integer part” of the quotient \( x/y \).

The equation

\[
[x/y] = \min_{t \leq x} [(t + 1) \cdot y > x]
\]

shows that \([x/y]\) is primitive recursive. Note that according to this definition, \([x/0] = 0\).
$R(x, y)$, The Remainder Function, Is Primitive Recursive

$R(x, y)$ is the remainder when $x$ is divided by $y$. As we can write

$$R(x, y) = x \div (y \cdot \lfloor x/y \rfloor)$$

so that $R(x, y)$ is primitive recursive. Note that $R(x, 0) = x$. 
\( p_n \), The \( n \)th Prime Number, Is Primitive Recursive

Note that \( p_0 = 0, p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5 \), etc.
$p_n$, The $n$th Prime Number, Is Primitive Recursive

Note that $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5$, etc.

$p_n$ is defined by the following recursive equations

\[
p_0 = 0, \\
p_{n+1} = \min_{t \leq p_n! + 1} \{ \text{Prime}(t) \& t > p_n \}
\]

so it is primitive recursive.

Note that $p_n! + 1$ is not divisible by any of the primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$. So, either $p_n! + 1$ is itself a prime or it is divisible by a prime greater than $p_n$. In either case, there is a prime $q$ such that $p_n < q \leq p_n! + 1$. 
To be precise, we shall first define a primitive recursive function

\[ h(y, z) = \min_{t \leq z} \{ \text{Prime}(t) \land t > y \}. \]

Then we define another primitive function

\[ k(x) = h(x, x! + 1) \]

Finally, \( p_n \) is defined as

\[ p_0 = 0, \]
\[ p_{n+1} = k(p_n), \]

and it is concluded that \( p_n \) is primitive recursive.
Minimalization, With No Bound

We write

$$\min_y P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$$

for the least value of $y$ for which the predicate $P$ is true *if there is one*. *If there is no value of $y$ for which $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ is true, then* $\min_y P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ *is undefined.*
Minimalization, With No Bound

We write

$$\min_y P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$$

for the least value of $y$ for which the predicate $P$ is true if there is one. If there is no value of $y$ for which $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ is true, then $\min_y P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ is undefined.

Note that unbounded minimalization of a predicate can easily produce function which is not total. For example,

$$x - y = \min_z [y + z = x]$$

is undefined for $x < y$. 
Unbounded Minimalization is Partially Computable

**Theorem 7.2.** If $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ is a computable predicate and if

$$g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \min_y P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$$

then $g$ is a partially computable function.
Unbounded Minimalization is Partially Computable

**Theorem 7.2.** If $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ is a computable predicate and if

$$g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \min_y P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$$

then $g$ is a partially computable function.

**Proof.** The following program computes $g$:

[A] IF $P(X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y)$ GOTO E

Y ← Y + 1

GOTO A

□
Pairing Functions

- There is a one-one and onto function from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ (with domain $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and range $\mathbb{N}$). This function is called a pairing function.

- That is, we can map a pair of numbers to a single number, and back, without losing information. Likewise, we can compute from any number a pair of numbers, and back, without missing anything.

- The primitive recursive function

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$$

is a pairing function.

- $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0, \langle 1, 0 \rangle = 1, \langle 0, 1 \rangle = 2, \ldots$
The Pairing Function $\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$

- Note that $2^x(2y + 1) \neq 0$, so
  
  $\langle x, y \rangle + 1 = 2^x(2y + 1)$

- If $z$ is any given number, then there is a unique solution $x, y$ to the equation $\langle x, y \rangle = z$.
- Namely, $x$ is the largest number such that $2^x|(z + 1)$, and $y$ is then the solution of the equation $2y + 1 = (z + 1)/2^x$.
- The pairing function thus defines two functions $l$ and $r$ such that $x = l(z)$ and $y = r(z)$. 

Note that $2^x(2y + 1) \neq 0$, so

$\langle x, y \rangle + 1 = 2^x(2y + 1)$
The Pairing Function $\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$, Continued

If $\langle x, y \rangle = z$, then $x, y < z + 1$. Hence, $l(z) \leq z$, and $r(z) \leq z$.

We can write

$$l(z) = \min_{x \leq z} [(\exists y \leq z)(z = \langle x, y \rangle)]$$

$$r(z) = \min_{y \leq z} [(\exists x \leq z)(z = \langle x, y \rangle)]$$

so that $l(z)$ and $r(z)$ are primitive recursive functions.
Pairing Function Theorem

**Theorem 8.1.** The functions $\langle x, y \rangle$, $l(z)$, and $r(z)$ have the following properties:

1. they are primitive recursive;
2. $l(\langle x, y \rangle) = x$, $r(\langle x, y \rangle) = y$;
3. $\langle l(z), r(z) \rangle = z$;
4. $l(z), r(z) \leq z$. 
Gödel Number

We define the Gödel Number of the sequence \((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\) to be the number

\[
[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{a_i}
\]

Thus, the the Gödel number of the sequence \((3, 1, 5, 4, 6)\) is

\[
[3, 1, 5, 4, 6] = 2^3 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^6
\]

For each fixed \(n\), the function \([a_1, \ldots, a_n]\) is clearly primitive recursive. Note that the Gödel numbering method encodes and decodes arbitrary finite sequences of numbers.
Uniqueness Property of Gödel Numbering

**Theorem 8.2.** If \([a_1, \ldots, a_n] = [b_1, \ldots, b_n]\), then

\[ a_i = b_i \]

for all \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). □

This result is an immediate consequence of the uniqueness of the factorization of integers into primes, sometimes referred to as the *unique factorization theorem*. Note that,

\[ 1 = 2^0 = 2^03^0 = 2^03^05^0 = \ldots, \]

hence it is natural to regard 1 as the Gödel number of the “empty” sequence (i.e., the sequence of length 0).
Function \((x)_i\):

We now define a primitive recursive function \((x)_i\) so that if

\[ x = [a_1, \ldots, a_n] \]

then \((x)_i = a_i\). We set

\[ (x)_i = \min_{t \leq x} (\sim p_{i}^{t+1}|x) \]

Note that \((x)_0 = 0\), and \((0)_i = 0\) for all \(i\).
Function $Lt(x)$

We also define the “length” function $Lt$,

$$Lt(x) = \min_{i \leq x} [(x)_i \neq 0 \& (\forall j \leq x)(j \leq i \lor (x)_j = 0)]$$

For example, if $x = 20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1 = [2, 0, 1]$ then

$(x)_1 = 2, (x)_2 = 0, (x)_3 = 1$, but $(x)_4 = 0, (x)_5 = 0, \ldots, (x)_i = 0,$

for all $i \geq 4$. So $Lt(20) = 3$. Note that $Lt(0) = Lt(1) = 0$.

If $x > 1$, and $Lt(x) = n$, then $p_n$ divides $x$ but no prime greater than $p_n$ divides $x$. 
Sequence Number Theorem

**Theorem 8.3.**

1. 

\[ ([a_1, \ldots, a_n])_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

2. 

\[ ([x)_1, \ldots, (x)_n] = x \text{ if } n \geq Lt(x). \]