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Abstract Document similarity measure is an important topic in information retrieval and document classification systems. But the document similarity measure of English cannot apply directly to Chinese. The percentage of English vocabulary covered by an English dictionary is very high, but it is much lower in the case of Chinese. In this paper we proposed a measure called common keyword similarity (CKS) that does not rely on dictionaries. The CKS measurement is based on the common substrings of two Chinese documents. Each substring is weighted by its distribution over document database. Experiment shows that weighting function has a great influence on the recall/precision evaluation, and the CKS measurement without using any dictionary is better than a system that uses dictionaries.

1. Introduction

The measurement of document similarity is an important topic in interactive information retrieval systems and automatic classification systems. One of the most popular measures is the Cosine Coefficient (CC) based on the Vector Space Model (VSM) [1][2][3]. However, the vector space model needs a dictionary to build vectors. This approach is not appropriate for Mandarin Chinese. There are many words that cannot be found in ordinary Chinese dictionaries, such as terminology, people's names and place names. The problem is further aggravated by the fact that there are no delimiters between these words in Chinese. To overcome that problem, we proposed an alternative measure that does not require any dictionary.

In this paper, we proposed a document similarity measure based on the common substrings of two documents. Our approach looks for all maximal common substrings using a PAT-tree [4][5][6], which is a tree that keeps all substring-frequency information. The importance of each substring (or keyword) is then evaluated by its discriminative effect, which indicates how well the keyword fits the pre-defined classification. The summation of keyword importance after normalization is considered as the similarity between two documents.

2. Extracting common keywords from two documents by a PAT-tree

Our approach to measure document similarity is based on maximal common substrings. A data structure called a PAT-tree can be used to find such substrings. A PAT-tree is a binary "trie". The "trie" is a tree in which each internal node is split at the first byte that is different from its brothers. Figure 1 shows a compressed trie, which illustrates the encoding of Chinese sentence "保存在李先生先生名下".

![Figure 1: The compressed trie of Chinese sentence - "保存在李先生先生名下"](image)

Because there are two substrings "先生" in the sentence of Figure 1, the "trie" split at the second child of the root. The number "2" in the node indicates that the two substrings have the first two characters in common. A compressed binary trie has at most two children in each internal node. It keeps the indices of a text in linear space. A PAT-tree is a compressed binary trie that keeps the leaf node pointer and the split position in the same node, saving the space of leaf nodes. Figure 2 shows a compressed binary trie and its PAT-tree after scanning the first six bits of the binary sequence "01100100010111".

![Figure 2a: Compressed Binary Trie](image)

![Figure 2b: Compressed Binary Trie](image)
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3. Measuring similarity between two documents

The measure of document similarity is normally based on the keyword weighting function. For an information retrieval system, some keywords are important while some are not. The situation also varies with different contexts. Stop words are good examples of keywords with no importance. A keyword may be important for some queries but not for others. Similarly, a keyword may be important in one classification but not in another.

In order to illustrate the idea of our keyword importance measurement, we shall introduce two classification systems used in the news database of Central News Agency (CNA) at Taiwan. Each of them has four categories. Classification A is "Politics / Economics / Society / Education". Classification B is "Taiwan / Mainland of China / Overseas / Local". These two classification systems are somewhat orthogonal. In our measure, "Stock" is an important keyword in classification A because stock plays an important role in the economic market. But it is not important in classification B. On the other hand, the keyword "Russia" is important in classification B but not in A, because "Russia" is a foreign country for Taiwan.

In order to measure the importance of a keyword, two measures (CE and KCE) based on the distribution of documents over a classification are proposed. The ratio of these two measures reflects the importance of the keyword. In the following five definitions, the first four are proposed by us. The last definition CC is a popular document similarity measure that is used in contrast to our CKS measure.

Definitions 1: Classification Entropy – CE(CECS)
Let D be a set of documents. The classification system CS partition D into D1, D2, ..., Dk. Define |D| as the number of documents in D. The Classification entropy CE(CECS) is defined below.

\[
CE(CECS) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \frac{|D_i|}{|D|} \log_2 \left( \frac{|D_i|}{|D|} \right) \right)
\]

The entropy CE(CECS) value is higher in a uniform distribution and lower in a biased distribution.

Definition 2: Keyword Classification Entropy – KCE(A, CS)
Let Dk be the subset of D that contains the keyword k. The classification system CS partition Dk into D1, D2, ..., Dk. The keyword classification entropy KCE(A, CS) is defined below.

\[
KCE(A, CS) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{|D_i|}{|D_k|} \log_2 \left( \frac{|D_i|}{|D_k|} \right)
\]

KCE(A, CS) is the entropy of documents that contain keyword k over classification CS.

Definition 3: Keyword Discrimination Effect – KDE(A, CS)

\[
KDE(A, CS) = \frac{CE(CECS)}{KCE(A, CS)} - 1
\]

KDE(A, CS) measures the importance of the keyword k over classification CS. A keyword is important for a classification if the documents containing the keyword are not evenly distributed within the classification system.

Definition 4: Common Keyword Similarity – CKS(d, d')
Let \( \text{len}(d) \) be the length of document d, \( w_k \) be the weight of keyword k. The Common Keyword Similarity of d, d' is defined below.

\[
CKS(d, d') = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{len}(d)} \max\{w_k, w_{k'}\} \times \frac{\text{len}(d)}{\text{len}(d')} \times \frac{\text{len}(d)}{\text{len}(d')}
\]

The measure CKS is based on the common substrings of two documents rather than a fixed dictionary. The weighting of each keyword \( w_k \) can be measured by any keyword weighting function such as \( w_k = KKS(k, A) \).

Definition 5: Cosine Coefficient – CCG(d, d')
Let \( w_k \) be the weight of keyword k in document d. The Cosine Coefficient is defined below.

\[
CCG(d, d') = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\text{len}(d)} w_k \times w_{k'}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\text{len}(d)} w_k^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\text{len}(d')} w_{k'}^2}}
\]

The CC is very popular for document similarity measurement. It is used to make comparison to our CKS measure in the experiments.

4. Experimental results

The combination of recall and precision is a popular evaluation for information retrieval systems. It is defined as follows:

\[
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Definitions 1: Let D be a set of documents and d a target document. Let \( P \) be a pre-defined subset of D that is similar to d, and let \( F \) be the subset of D that is determined similar to d by program P. Then the recall and precision of P on document set D are defined as follows:

\[
\text{recall}(P, d, D) = \frac{|P \cap D|}{|P|},
\]

\[
\text{precision}(P, d, D) = \frac{|P \cap D|}{|D|}.
\]

Recall and precision are used to evaluate the quality of document similarity measures in the experiments. The subject corpus of our experiment contains 10056 news from CMA, March/1997.

We selected a total of 116 documents that contain keyword "football" as the documents to be reviewed in our experiment. The first document with title "足球是國家的脊梁 pulse九月七日 at MML" was selected as the target document. The experiments measured similarity between the target document and the collection of selected documents.

The recall/precision evaluation of the document similarity measure CKS is determined by several factors. The first factor is the keyword weighting function. In the keyword weighting function KDE, the classification plays an important role. Figure 3 shows the comparison between classification A: "Politics / Economy / Society / Education" and B: "Politics / Maintained of China / Overseas / Local". The recall/precision evaluation shows that classification A is much better than classification B in the experiment. This shows that classification B is not as important as classification A in judging the document similarity of news corpus.

![Figure 3. The effect of different classification on keyword weighting KDE](image)

The second factor that influences the document similarity measure is the selection of keywords. Using dictionaries or not has a direct influence. Figure 4 shows the comparison between CKS with and without dictionaries.

![Figure 4. The effect of similarity measures with or without dictionary](image)

The measure CC in definition 5 was used as a constant measure in Figure 4. We used the dictionary collected from Modern and Classical Chinese Corpus of Academia Sinica Test Databases, which contains 7841 Chinese words. The experiment shows that a system using common substrings is better than one using a dictionary. It is because many words in the new corpus cannot be found in an ordinary dictionary.

In these experiments, KDE exhibits very good performance except in the case of very high recall. It is mostly due to the fact that the target document has a low similarity to itself. A long common substring usually shows up only once in the corpus, which lowers the weight of long keywords in weighting function KDE.

## 5. Conclusion

A Chinese document similarity measure CKS based on contents substrings and a keyword weighting function KDE are proposed in this paper. There are two observations. First of all, the document similarity measurement CKS without dictionaries is better than the CC measure that uses a dictionary. Secondly, the classification system plays an important role in the keyword weighting function KDE for document similarity measurement.
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