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Conventional spoken sentence retrieval (SSR) relies on a large-vocabulary continuous-speech recognition (LVCSR) system. This investigation proposes a feature-based speaker-dependent SSR algorithm using two-level matching. Users can speak keywords as the query inputs to get the similarity ranks from a spoken sentence database. For instance, if a user is looking for a relevant personal spoken sentence, “October 12, I have a meeting in New York” in the database, then the appropriate query input could be “meeting”, “New York” or “October”. In the first level, a Similar Frame Tagging scheme is proposed to locate possible segments of the database sentences that are similar to the user’s query utterance. In the second level, a Fine Similarity Evaluation between the query and each possible segment is performed. Based on the feature-based comparison, the proposed algorithm does not require acoustic and language models, thus our SSR algorithm is language independent. Effective feature selection is the next issue in this paper. In addition to the conventional mel frequency cepstrum coefficients (MFCCs), several MPEG-7 audio low-level descriptors (LLDs) are also used as the features to exploit their ability for SSR. Experimental results revealed that the retrieval performance using MPEG-7 audio LLDs was close to that of the MFCCs. The combination of MPEG-7 audio LLDs and the MFCCs could further improve the retrieval precision. Based on the feature-based matching, the proposed algorithm has the advantages of language independent and speaker dependent training free. Comparing to the original methods [10, 11], with only 0.026 – 0.05 precision decrease, the addition and multiplication numbers are reduced by around a factor of \( k \) (frame number of query). It is particularly suitable for the use in resource-limited devices.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Spoken language is undoubtedly the most natural and convenient way for people to express and transmit their thoughts. With more requirements to access spoken data, an efficient retrieval method is essential. Most research on spoken data retrieval has focused on PC-based platforms. Methodologies for PC-based spoken sentence retrieval (SSR) [1-6] generally include two steps: speech recognition and information retrieval. During the first step, both spoken queries and spoken documents in the database are transcribed into
a series of semantic units, such as phrases or syllables. In the second step, the query transcripts are used to retrieve the relevant spoken document transcripts using information retrieval techniques [7-9]. Although these retrieval systems have achieved a certain degree of success, these systems are constructed based on an acoustic model and a language model. The memory requirement is quite large for the resource-limited devices.

With the increasingly widespread use of personal portable device, it is useful to devise an efficient method for SSR in resource-limited devices without using an acoustic model or a language model. However, the speaker-dependent property is the limitation of the assumption at the basis of the feature level SSR. An SSR in personal devices commonly involve only personal spoken database for applications focusing on retrieving previous recorded sentences such as a voice memorandum and a voice phonebook. Therefore, it is suitable for this article to develop a speaker-dependent SSR system based on matching from speech feature. In general, people are often concerned about the not-so-great performance of a feature-based SSR as compared to an SSR that works at model-based approach. For an SSR used in a personal mobile information access environment and for achieving an acceptable retrieval performance for a feature-based SSR, we focus our work on a medium-sized (approximately 100 database sentences) database.

Effective representation of speech waveform is another crucial issue in an SSR system. This process converts the speech signal into parameters while virtually preserving the speech signal information. In the last decade, mel frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) have drawn most attentions and been applied in many speech recognition systems. Nevertheless, the investigations seeking for other possible alternatives still continue. Moving picture experts group standard 7 (MPEG-7), formally named “multimedia content description interface (MSDI)”, is established for describing the multimedia content data [12]. MPEG-7 aims to make multimedia data more searchable. Some example application areas for MPEG-7 audio are setting-up audio archives (radio, movies, TV), retrieving audio files from the Internet, filtering audio broadcasts, music education, and surveillance [21]. This paper evaluates the performance of several MPEG-7 audio low-level descriptors (LLDs) in our algorithm. Experimental results revealed that the retrieval performances of the adopted MPEG-7 audio LLDs and the MFCCs are similar. Furthermore, the combination of MPEG-7 audio LLDs and the MFCCs provides better retrieval performance than the individual MFCCs.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the MPEG-7 audio LLDs adopted in this paper. The proposed two-level feature algorithm is detailed in section 3. The computational loads of the direct matching [10, 11] and the proposed methods are also analyzed in this section. In section 4, the evaluation to choose effective MPEG-7 audio LLDs as speech features is given first. The retrieval experimental results using single and multi-feature are then presented. Finally, section 5 draws the concluding remarks.

2. FEATURE EXTRACTION

2.1 MPEG-7 Audio Low-Level Descriptors

The audio descriptors are the basic components constituting the MPEG-7 audio standard. MPEG-7 audio LLDs consist of a collection of simple, low-complexity audio spectrum descriptors and timbre descriptors [13]. Audio descriptors are instantiations of
meta-data that may be associated with a single temporal interval or with a set of intervals in an acoustic waveform [13]. Although the MPEG-7 audio LLDs are mainly used to describe audio signals, they provide abundant information to portray speech signals. As no works have ever tried to adopt MPEG-7 audio descriptors in SSR, this motivates the authors to seek the possibility of using the MPEG-7 audio LLDs as the speech features for the proposed SSR algorithm. For SSR, this paper evaluates some audio spectrum descriptors including the audio spectrum centroid (ASC), the spread (ASS), the flatness (ASF), and the envelope (ASE) for describing many types of spectral features of a speech frame. Beside, some timbre descriptors including the harmonic spectral centroid (IHSC), the spread (IHSS), the deviation (IHSD) and the variation (IHSV) are also applied to describe an entire speech segment. Some evaluations (discussed in section 4) were made to select suitable descriptors for the proposed retrieval system. Moreover, a comparative performance evaluation between MFCCs and MPEG-7 audio LLDs was conducted in this paper. Finally, the retrieval performance of hybrid MFCCs and MPEG-7 audio LLDs was also evaluated in our experiments.

3. SPOKEN SENTENCE RETRIEVAL

3.1 Proposed Two-Level Matching Algorithm

An overview of our proposed system is depicted in Fig. 1, where the rectangular blocks stand for operation procedures. We divide the retrieval processes into three main steps as follows.

**Step 1**: MPEG-7/MFCC feature extraction

For each speech frame, we extract 33-dimension feature including: 13 MFCCs + 1 ASE + 1 ASC + 1 ASS + 15 ASF + 1 IHSC + 1 HSS in the case of 8 kHz sampling rate. The frame size is 30 ms (240 samples) with 20ms (160 samples) overlap, while each frame is extracted with the Hamming window-weighting.

**Step 2**: Possible segment extraction level

First, the *Similar Frame Tagging* is performed. Second, a *Highly Possible Segment Extraction* is designed with a rectangular scanning or a hamming window convolution to identify the possible segments that are similar to user’s query.

**Step 3**: Fine similarity evaluation level

The DP distance between query and every possible segment extracted from step 2 is calculated. The reciprocal of minimum DP distance is chosen as the similarity between query and a database sentence. Finally, we rank the possible segments based on the similarity and retrieve the top M ones. All of the procedures above will be described in the following sections.

3.1.1 Possible segment extraction level

The possible segment extraction level contains two sub processes, the *Similar Frame Tagging* and the *Highly Possible Segment Extraction*. 
(a) Similar frame tagging

The Similar Frame Tagging is performed to locate possible database segments which are similar to user’s query. In Fig. 2, user’s query and a database sentence are divided into speech blocks; each block contains three frames with one frame overlapping. For each query block, the block distance (total distance of three consecutive speech frames) between query and database sentences are calculated, if the block distance is smaller than an empirical threshold, \( t_h \), then the three frame-indexes of this block are tagged as 1; otherwise they are tagged as 0. Then, the query block shifts right two frames to the next database block. For every query block, this fashion is repeated until one query block reaches the end of a database sentence. The Similar Frame Tagging process is applied for each speech feature, and we call each binary stream as the Block_Tagged_Data. Finally, all the Block_Tagged_Datas are summed up to yield the Tagged_Data. Fig. 3 gives an example to illustrate the Similar Frame Tagging with using four features: ASC, ASS, ASF and MFCCs.
From *Tagged Data*, we try to double check the possible segments that correspond to user’s query. In *Tagged Data*, segments with large tagged and highly consecutive values are more similar to the query based on the time series property. For this purpose, we utilize the rectangular/Hamming window scanning/convolution technique to seek possible segments.

(b.1) Highly possible segment extraction-using rectangular window scanning

Denote *data* as the speech feature of a database sentence. The $l_j$ indicates the total frame number of a database sentence. In this method, we adopt a rectangular window
with the size of query length $l_q$. The $i$ and $j$ represent the frame index and possible segment index of a database sentence. If the summation of values from $i$ to $I + l_q - 1$ of $Tagged\_Data$ is greater than a threshold $th_2$, we consider this highly tagged segment as a possible segment. Normally, the hop size for window shifting is set as one. To avoid over-extracting neighbor segments, the hop size is changed as $0.5 \times l_q$ right after a possible segment is detected. The pseudo code for extracting possible segment using rectangular window scanning is described as follows.

\[
i = 0; j = 0; /* Initialization */
\]
\[
\text{while } i < I + l_q - 1
\]
\[
array[i] = \sum_{x=i}^{x=i+l_q-1} Tagged\_Data[x];
\]
\[
\text{if } array[i] > th_2
\]
\[
S_j = data[I: i + l_q - 1];
\]
\[
j = j + 1;
\]
\[
hop\_size = 0.5 \times l_q;
\]
\[
\text{else}
\]
\[
hop\_size = 1;
\]
\[
\text{end if;}
\]
\[
i = i + hop\_size;
\]
\[
\text{end while;}
\]
\[
\text{Finish: Output all possible segments } S_j;
\]

(b.2) Highly possible segment extraction using Hamming window convolution

In this part, the window scanning is accomplished by signal convolution. We adopt a Hamming window with the size of query length $l_q$, and convolute this window with the $Tagged\_Data$. The pseudo code for extracting possible segment using the Hamming window convolution is given below:

\[
i = 0; j = 0; /* Initialization */
\]
\[
\text{Step 1: /* Convoluting Tagged\_Data and Hamming window function } f(x) */
\]
\[
\text{for each frame } i \text{ in the Tagged\_Data}
\]
\[
array[i] = \sum_{x=-l_q/2}^{x=l_q/2} f(x) \cdot Tagged\_Data[i-x];
\]
\[
\text{end for;}
\]
\[
\text{go to step 2;}
\]
\[
\text{Step 2: /* Possible segment identification */}
\]
\[
\text{for each local maximal of } array[i_{local\_max}]
\]
\[
\text{if } array[i_{local\_max}] \geq th_3
\]
\[
S_j = data[i_{local\_max} - l_q/2 : i_{local\_max} + l_q/2 - 1];
\]
\[
j = j + 1;
\]
\[
\text{end if;}
\]
\[
\text{end for;}
\]
\[
\text{Finish: Output all possible segments } S_j;
\]
In step 1, Tagged Data is convoluted with a Hamming window. Step 2 is used to identify the highly tagged segment as a possible segment or not. In step 2, each local maximum value $array[il_{\text{local}_\text{max}}]$ is considered if it is greater than a threshold $th_3$. If it is, a segment $S_j$ (length = $l_q$ and center frame index = $il_{\text{local}_\text{max}}$) is labeled as a possible segment. The overall concept of possible segment extraction level is illustrated in Fig. 4.
3.1.2 Fine similarity evaluation level

After the possible segments have been extracted, the fine similarities between query and these possible segments are calculated by the dynamic programming (DP) algorithm. Assume there are \( M \) spoken sentences in the database. Let \( \text{score}(\text{query}, \text{possible} \_ \text{segment}^m_k) \), \( m = 1 \sim M \) denotes the matching score of query and \( k \)th possible segment in \( m \)th database sentence. For multiple feature set \( f = 1 \sim F \), this matching score is calculated by:

\[
\text{score}(\text{query}, \text{possible} \_ \text{segment}^m_k) = \sum_{f=1}^{F} w_f \cdot \text{DP}(\text{query}(f), \text{possible} \_ \text{segment}^m_k(f)),
\]

where \( \text{DP}(\text{query}(f), \text{possible} \_ \text{segment}^m_k(f)) \) is the accumulated distance between query and \( k \)th possible segment in \( m \)th database sentence using \( f \)th feature, and \( w_f \) is weighting factor for different speech features. The matching score between query and \( m \)th database sentence is determined by

\[
\text{score}(\text{query}, \text{sentence}^m) = \min_k (\text{possible} \_ \text{segment}^m_k).
\]

As the matching score is obtained based on the DP distance, the smaller matching score indicates the higher similarity. Finally, the system ranks all the database sentences in accordance with these similarity matching scores. The overall concept of the fine similarity evaluation level is illustrated in Fig. 5.

3.2 Computational Analysis

3.2.1 Direct matching method

This section compares the computational load of the proposed algorithm with that of the direct matching method \([10, 11]\), which applies DP algorithm to every frame interval. For direct matching, the computational complexity analysis includes: (a) the local distance calculation between query frame and the database sentence frame; (b) the shortest path selection of DP algorithm.

(a) Local distance

The local distance indicates the frame-difference between \( r \)th frame of user’s query (reference pattern) and \( s \)th frame of a database sentence (unknown utterance) on the DP plane. The computation of a local frame distance depends on speech feature selection and it’s dimension; \( \Phi(\text{local}_\_\text{add}) \) and \( \Psi(\text{local}_\_\text{mul}) \) represent the addition and multiplication times of different features. As indicated in Fig. 6, \( l_q \) and \( l_d \) denote the frame numbers of query and database sentence, respectively. The local distance must be computed \( l_q^2 \) times per frame interval; the total number of shifts is approximately \( (l_d - l_q) \). Therefore, the total computational loads are:

\[
\#\text{Additions}: l_q^2 \cdot (l_d - l_q) \cdot \Phi(\text{local}_\_\text{add}) \equiv l_q^2 \cdot l_d \cdot \Phi(\text{local}_\_\text{add}).
\]

\[
\#\text{Multiplications}: l_q^2 \cdot (l_d - l_q) \cdot \Psi(\text{local}_\_\text{mul}) \equiv l_q^2 \cdot l_d \cdot \Psi(\text{local}_\_\text{mul}).
\]
Fig. 5. The overall concept of fine similarity evaluation level.

Fig. 6. Illustration of the direct matching method.

Fig. 7. Three directional path selections.
(b) Path selection

As indicated in Fig. 7, the horizontal axis represents the query frame and the vertical axis denotes the database sentence frame. For each node, the path decision needs four additions and three additions for last node determination; one addition for accumulating the path distance. As above, the total number of additions required for selecting a path is $4 \cdot l_q^2 \cdot (l_d - l_q)$. As shown in Fig. 8, the type one $0^\circ - 45^\circ - 90^\circ$ dynamic time warping (DTW) local path constraint is adopted [18]. For reducing the computational load, we utilize a global path constraint, which excludes certain part of the DTW nodes from the region the optimal path can traverse [16], i.e., only the nodes $(r, s)$ that satisfy the rule described in Eq. (5) will be considered in the search procedure.

![Fig. 8. Experimental results of precision-recall curves.](image_url)
where $W$ is an appropriate positive integer called the warping factor. This design parameter corresponds to the fact that time-axis fluctuation in usual cases never causes excessive timing difference [17]. A larger $W$ indicates a larger possible searching area; this makes a higher percentage of possible warps to be performed [19]. However, the computational load is heavier. Therefore, it is a trade off problem between computational load and system performance. As the proposed algorithm, we need to evaluate the matching similarity between a possible segment and query with equal length. Moreover, the mapping path belongs to the type of “anchored beginning, anchored end”. To limit the mapping path to be a reasonable path and reduce computational load, the warping factor $W$ was set equal to 3, which covered the utmost ± 90ms timing difference. By this setting, the corresponding global path constraint factor (the ratio of possible searching area to overall area) is approximately 0.6. Therefore, the path selection requires the following number of additions.

$$\#\text{Additions}: \ 4 \cdot (0.6) \cdot l_q^2 \cdot (l_d - l_q) = 2.4 \cdot l_q^2 \cdot (l_d - l_q) \cong 2.4 \cdot l_q^2 \cdot l_d.$$ (6)

### 3.2.2 Two-Level feature matching method

(a) Similar frame tagging

First, a query is divided into numerous blocks ($block\_size = 3$ frames). Second, the local distance is computed, and query block shift right two frames ($N_{\text{shift}} = 2$) to the next database block. The total blocks of query $N_{dblock}^q$ is approximately equal to $l_q / N_{\text{shift}} = l_q / 2$. Therefore, each block requires $S_N$ (number of right shifting for each block) times for local distance computation.

$$S_N = (l_d - \text{block\_size})/N_{\text{shift}} = (l_d - 3)/2.$$ (7)

The following numbers of additions and multiplications are required.

**#Additions:**

$$N_{\text{shift}}^q \cdot block\_size \cdot S_N \cdot \Phi(\text{local\_add}) = (l_q / 2) \cdot 3 \cdot ((l_d - 3) / 2) \cdot \Phi(\text{local\_add}) \cong 0.75 \cdot l_q \cdot l_d \cdot \Phi(\text{local\_add}).$$ (8)

**#Multiplications:**

$$N_{\text{shift}}^q \cdot block\_size \cdot S_N \cdot \Psi(\text{local\_mul}) = (l_q / 2) \cdot 3 \cdot ((l_d - 3) / 2) \cdot \Psi(\text{local\_mul}) \cong 0.75 \cdot l_q \cdot l_d \cdot \Psi(\text{local\_mul}).$$ (9)

(b) Possible segment extraction using rectangular/Hamming window scanning/convolution

As shown in the Fig. 4, the rectangular window (size = $l_q$) is sliding with the Tagged_Data (length = $l_d$), the Tagged_Data are summarized within a rectangular window.

$$\#Addition = \text{length of Tagged\_Data} \cdot l_q = l_d \cdot l_q.$$ (10)
In the Hamming window convolution method, most of the computational load is associated with the convolution between the Tagged Data and a Hamming window (size = $l_q$), which requires the following numbers of operations.

$$\text{#Additions} = \text{#Multiplications} \cong l_q \cdot \text{length of tagged_data} = l_q \cdot l_d.$$ (11)

(c) Matching possible segments with queries for ranking sentences outputs

The computational load of sentence ranking depends on the number of possible segments, $N_{\text{possible_seg}}$, which extracted for $DP$ distance computing. It requires the following numbers of operations.

$$\text{#Additions (local distance):} \quad l_q^2 \cdot N_{\text{possible_seg}} \cdot \Phi(\text{local_dist_add}) + \text{path selection: } 2.4 \cdot l_q^2 \cdot N_{\text{possible_seg}}.$$ (12)

$$\text{#Multiplications (local distance):} \quad l_q^2 \cdot N_{\text{possible_seg}} \cdot \Psi(\text{local_dist_mul}).$$ (13)

Summing up the above, Table 1 lists all of the analytic results.

### Table 1. The computational complexity of proposed methods and direct matching method (the dominated terms are shown in boldface).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Number of additions</th>
<th>Number of multiplications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular window</td>
<td>$l_q \cdot l_d \cdot (1 + 0.75 \cdot \Phi(\text{local_add})) +$</td>
<td>$0.75 \cdot l_q \cdot l_d \cdot \Phi(\text{local_mul}) + l_q^2 \cdot N_{\text{possible_seg}} \cdot \Psi(\text{local_mul})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamming window</td>
<td>$l_q \cdot l_d \cdot \Phi(\text{local_add}) +$</td>
<td>$l_q \cdot l_d \cdot (1 + 0.75 \cdot \Psi(\text{local_mul}) + l_q^2 \cdot N_{\text{possible_seg}} \cdot \Psi(\text{local_mul})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct matching</td>
<td>$l_q^2 \cdot l_d \cdot (2.4 + \Phi(\text{local_add}))$</td>
<td>$l_q^2 \cdot l_d \cdot (2.4 + \Psi(\text{local_mul}))$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean value of possible segments, $N_{\text{possible_seg}}$, are usually far smaller than the average number of frames per sentences, $l_d$, so the proposed algorithm substantially reduces the number of $DP$ matches. The direct matching method are dominated by $l_q^2 \cdot l_d \cdot \Phi(\text{local_add})$ and $l_q^2 \cdot l_d \cdot \Psi(\text{local_mul})$. Since $l_q \ll l_d$, the addition and multiplication number of the proposed algorithm are dominated by $0.75 \cdot l_q \cdot l_d \cdot \Phi(\text{local_add})$ and $0.75 \cdot l_q \cdot l_d \cdot \Psi(\text{local_mul})$, respectively. Compared with the direct matching method, the proposed algorithm reduces around a factor of $l_q$.

### 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### 4.1 Individual Feature Evaluations by Exact Matching

This section evaluated the performance of MPEG-7 audio LLDs and MFCCs. Features with better performance for spoken sentence recognition were chosen for our two-level SSR system. For each dataset, the content of query was exactly the same with one of the database sentence, and was spoken by the same speaker, but uttered at another time. This experiment directly evaluated the $DP$ distance between query and each database sen-
tence without steps 2 which discussed in section 3.1. In other words, we replace Eq. (1) as

\[
\text{score}(\text{query}, \text{database \_ sentence}^m) = \sum_{f=1}^{F} w_f \cdot DP(\text{query}(f), \text{database \_ sentence}^m(f)).
\] (14)

The overall retrieval performance was evaluated based on the non-interpolated mean average precision (mAP) [14, 15]. The mAP is defined as follows:

\[
\text{mAP} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M_j} \left( \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} \text{prec} N_{Q_j}(k) \right),
\] (15)

where \(N_j\) denotes the total number of relevant sentences for query \(j\), \(M_i\) represents the total number of queries in batch \(i\), \(L\) is the total number of query batches, and \(\text{prec} N_{Q_j}(k)\) is the precision for query \(Q_j\) when \(k\) sentences are retrieved. Three types of spoken data: (1) names, (2) conversation sentences and (3) news titles were employed. Their average time durations were 1.2, 3.3, and 5.7 seconds, respectively. The experimental results are presented in Table 2.

| Table 2. mAP evaluations for individual MPEG-7 audio LLDs and MFCCs. |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Dataset         | ASE     | ASC     | ASS     | ASF     | MFCC    | IHSC    | IHSS    | IHSV    | IHSD    |
| 25 names        | 0.422   | 0.483   | 0.359   | 0.782   | 0.873   | 0.539   | 0.544   | 0.193   | 0.352   |
| 50 names        | 0.250   | 0.295   | 0.223   | 0.424   | 0.579   | 0.326   | 0.315   | 0.101   | 0.179   |
| 25 conversation sentences | 0.417   | 0.797   | 0.756   | 0.818   | 0.868   | 0.779   | 0.793   | 0.471   | 0.558   |
| 50 conversation sentences | 0.366   | 0.796   | 0.739   | 0.816   | 0.860   | 0.774   | 0.793   | 0.463   | 0.510   |
| 25 news titles  | 0.539   | 0.949   | 0.824   | 0.900   | 0.981   | 0.959   | 0.928   | 0.567   | 0.639   |
| 50 news titles  | 0.450   | 0.889   | 0.782   | 0.893   | 0.971   | 0.929   | 0.904   | 0.509   | 0.575   |

As shown in Table 2, the MFCCs gave the best matching performance, and ASF was better than the other LLDs, except news titles, in which case IHSC and IHSS outperform ASF. Moreover, for a larger database, the matching performance was degraded. The contents between query and database sentences became more diverse as the size of data set increased. Finally, the ASC, ASS, ASF, IHSC and IHSS were chosen to be combined as the feature set for the following experiments.

4.2 Spoken Sentence Retrieval

The spoken sentence database consisted of 100 Mandarin sentences (50 personal schedules and 50 news titles) in the following experiments. Each utterance was uttered by a single person. Besides, the retrieval performance of the direct matching method was taken as the baseline result. Table 3 specifies the statistics of the speech query and database used in our experiments.
Table 3. Statistics of the speech query and database.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of spoken sentences to be retrieved</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of queries</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most commonly used measures—recall rate and precision rate—were used [20] to evaluate the performance of retrieval systems, and were defined by Eqs. (16) and (17), respectively.

\[
\text{Recall Rate} = \frac{\text{number of relevant records retrieved}}{\text{total number of relevant records in collection}},
\]

(16)

\[
\text{Precision Rate} = \frac{\text{number of relevant records retrieved}}{\text{total number of records retrieved}}.
\]

(17)

4.2.1 Spoken sentence retrieval using single feature

This experiment used a total of 50 additional spoken keywords as input queries. The retrieval performance was determined for each individual feature. Table 4 shows the average frame numbers of \( l_q \), \( l_d \) and \( N_{\text{possible seg}} \) determined by rectangular/Hamming window scanning/convolution.

Table 4. Average frame numbers of query, database sentence and possible segments.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average ( l_q )</td>
<td>70.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ( l_d )</td>
<td>345.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ( N_{\text{possible seg}} ) by Rectangular window</td>
<td>37.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ( N_{\text{possible seg}} ) by Hamming window</td>
<td>25.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Performance evaluation by single-feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>ASC</th>
<th>ASS</th>
<th>ASF</th>
<th>IHSC</th>
<th>IHSS</th>
<th>MFCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular window</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamming window</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct matching</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 presents the average precision by each feature. ASF had the best retrieval performance among all adopted MPEG-7 LLDs, while the retrieval performance of the other LLDs were below 0.43. The proposed methods degraded the mAP performance of the direct matching method by 0 ~ 0.033, except IHSC and IHSS.
4.3.2 Spoken sentence retrieval using multi-feature

Several combinations were considered: ASx (ASC + ASS + ASF), IHSx (IHSC + IHSS), LLDs (ASx + IHSx) and ALL (LLDs and MFCCs). Table 6 shows the average precision of multi-feature. The performance of the MPEG-7 LLDs combination was comparable to that of the MFCCs. Combining ASx with IHSx yielded no clear improvement. Moreover, the combination with MPEG-7 audio LLDs and MFCCs (MFCC + LLDs) gave the best retrieval performance.

Table 6. Performance evaluation by multi-feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>ASx</th>
<th>IHSx</th>
<th>LLDs</th>
<th>MFCC</th>
<th>MFCC+LLDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular window</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamming window</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct matching</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASx: ASC + ASS + ASF; IHSx: IHSC + IHSS; LLDs: ASC + ASS + ASF + IHSC + IHSS; ALL: ASC + ASS + ASF + IHSC + IHSS + MFCC. The weights of ASC, ASS, ASF, IHSC, IHSS and MFCCs are 0.15, 0.15, 0.3, 0.08, 0.02 and 0.3, respectively.

Fig. 8 presents the overall precision-recall relations. The performance obtained from the multi-feature experiments was better than that obtained from the single-feature experiments. The performance, in terms of IHSx, Hamming window was poorer than that of rectangular window and the direct matching method. According to our observation, it was because the Hamming window poorly locates possible segments using IHSx. The curve of ASF was much more flat than that of other descriptors; its discrimination ability was much more outstanding. Finally, the loss of a few possible segments by the proposed approaches caused the curve to decline for better recall rate. However, as mentioned in section 3.2, the proposed algorithms greatly reduced the computational load and accelerate the retrieval process with only little precision degradation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a spoken sentence retrieval algorithm for resource limited devices. Rather than using a traditional large-vocabulary continuous-speech recognition system, it relies on a two-level feature matching technique. Without using acoustical and language models, the proposed system is language independent. Both MFCCs and MPEG-7 audio LLDs were also taken as speech features in our system evaluation. The retrieval performances of MPEG-7 audio LLDs were experimentally demonstrated to be comparable to the MFCCs feature. Furthermore, the combination of MPEG-7 LLDs and MFCCs performed better than either individually. The retrieval precision of the proposed methods were 1% ~ 3% lower than that of the direct matching method, however, the computational load was reduced by a factor of about $l_q$, the frames number of query.
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