

Supplymentary Proofs for the Paper Functional Pearl: Maximally Dense Segments

Shin-Cheng Mu and Sharon Curtis

This document records some proofs accompanying the paper Functional Pearl: Maximally Dense Segments. Theorems and lemmas are labelled with both their own numbers here and the numbers in the paper, if any. For example, **Lemma A.1** (3.1) is Lemma 3.1 in the paper.

A Preliminaries

To begin with, we recite the useful property about densities: for any lists $x, y :: [Elem]$, and any operator $\oplus \in \{<_d, \leq_d, >_d, \geq_d\}$,

$$x \oplus (x \# y) \Leftrightarrow x \oplus y \Leftrightarrow (x \# y) \oplus y. \quad (1)$$

Recall that the function $smsp$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} smsp &:: [Elem] \rightarrow [Elem] \\ smsp &= min_{\#} \circ \Lambda max_d \circ \Lambda((L \leq_b)? \circ prefix). \end{aligned}$$

When we write $smsp\ x$ we imply that $x \in dom\ smsp$. It is monotonic on the prefix ordering \sqsubseteq in the sense below:

$$x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow smsp\ x \sqsubseteq smsp\ y, \quad (2)$$

$$x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow smsp\ x \leq_d smsp\ y. \quad (3)$$

Also, it is idempotent:

$$smsp\ (smsp\ x) = smsp\ x. \quad (4)$$

Let y be a prefix of x that is no shorter than $smsp\ x$, we reason:

$$\begin{aligned} &smsp\ x \sqsubseteq y \sqsubseteq x \\ \Rightarrow &\{ \text{by (2)} \} \\ &smsp\ (smsp\ x) \sqsubseteq smsp\ y \sqsubseteq smsp\ x \\ \equiv &\{ \text{by (4)} \} \\ &smsp\ x \sqsubseteq smsp\ y \sqsubseteq smsp\ x, \end{aligned}$$

thus $smsp\ y = smsp\ x$. We have just shown that

$$smsp\ x \sqsubseteq y \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow smsp\ x = smsp\ y. \quad (5)$$

The function $trim$ is defined by:

$$trim\ x = max_{\#} \circ \Lambda((U \geq_b)? \circ prefix).$$

It is also monotonic on the prefix ordering:

$$x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow trim\ x \sqsubseteq trim\ y. \quad (6)$$

Apart from being idempotent, we also have:

$$trim\ (a : x) = trim\ (a : trim\ x). \quad (7)$$

Note that for any x , $trim\ x \geq_b L$ equivals that x has a prefix y such that $U \leq_b y \leq_b L$. Therefore,

$$trim\ x \geq_b L \wedge x' \sqsubseteq x \wedge x \geq_b L \Rightarrow trim\ x' \geq_b L. \quad (8)$$

If $u <_b U$ for some list u , then u is a prefix of $trim\ (u \# x)$. That is, there exists a function $trim_u$ such that:

$$trim\ (u \# x) = u \# trim_u\ x, \text{ if } u <_b U. \quad (9)$$

While $trim$ returns the longest prefix of a list no wider than U , $trim_u$ trims the list upto $U - breadth\ u$ units wide. If $u \geq_b U$, we let $trim_u\ x = []$, thus in general $trim\ (u \# x) \sqsubseteq u \# trim_u\ x$. The function $trim_u$ satisfies a property similar to (5):

$$trim\ x \sqsubseteq y \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow trim_u\ x = trim_u\ y. \quad (10)$$

The following is an important property relating $smsp$ and $trim_u$:

$$smsp\ (trim_u\ x) \sqsubseteq trim_u\ (smsp\ x). \quad (11)$$

The right-hand side computes the shortest, maximally dense prefix of x , before trimming it to some fixed width. The left-hand side computes $smsp$ only for trimmed x . In general the latter could be shorter. The x on the right-hand side may actually be replaced by any prefix of x no narrower than $trim_u\ x$. In particular:

$$smsp\ (trim_u\ x) \sqsubseteq trim_u\ (smsp\ (trim\ x)). \quad (12)$$

if $trim_u\ x$ and $trim\ x$ are in the domain of $smsp$.

We recite Lemma 3.1 in the paper:

Lemma A.1 (3.1). $u \# smsp\ x \sqsubseteq smsp\ (u \# x) \Rightarrow smsp\ x >_d smsp\ (u \# x)$.

Proof. Denote $smsp\ x$ by x_1 . The antecedent implies that there exists some non-empty x_2 such that $smsp\ (u \# x) = u \# x_1 \# x_2$. Since x_1 is a maximally density prefix of x , we have $x_1 \geq_d x_1 \# x_2$, which is equivalent to $x_1 \geq_d x_2$ by the density property (1). Since $u \# x_1 \# x_2$ is the shortest maximally dense prefix of $u \# x$, we have $u \# x_1 \# x_2 >_d u \# x_1$, which is equivalent to $x_2 >_d u \# x_1 \# x_2$ by (1). By transitivity we have $x_1 >_d u \# x_1 \# x_2$. \square

For calculation, however, we will be using the contraposition of Lemma A.1:

Corollary A.2. $smsp (u \dashv x) \geq_d smsp x \Rightarrow smsp (u \dashv x) \sqsubseteq u \dashv smsp x$.

B Catamorphism Required for Yoking

Recall the definition of win :

$$\begin{aligned} win [] &= [] \\ win (a : x) &= wp (trim (a : win x)), \end{aligned}$$

where wp is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} wp &:: [Elem] \rightarrow [Elem] \\ wp x \mid L \leq_b x &= smsp x \\ &\mid \text{otherwise} = x. \end{aligned}$$

Since $trim \sqsubseteq prefix$ and $smsp \sqsubseteq prefix$, the window $win x$ is always a prefix of $wp (trim x)$:

$$win x \sqsubseteq wp (trim x) \sqsubseteq x. \quad (13)$$

Now we prove Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3:

Theorem B.1 (3.2). $mds_M (a : x) = mds_M x \uparrow_d win (a : x)$.

Proof. By definition, $mds_M (a : x) = mds_M x \uparrow_d wp (trim (a : x))$.

If $trim (a : x) <_b L$, by (13) and (6), $trim (a : win x)$ is narrower than L as well. Therefore both $mds_M x \uparrow_d wp (trim (a : x))$ and $mds_M x \uparrow_d win (a : x)$ reduce to $mds_M x$.

If $trim (a : x) \geq_b L$, we first consider the case when x is in the domain of mds and $smsp (trim (a : x)) <_d mds x$. We reason:

$$\begin{aligned} &mds_M x \uparrow_d wp (trim (a : x)) \\ = &\{ \text{since } trim (a : x) \geq_b L \} \\ &mds_M x \uparrow_d smsp (trim (a : x)) \\ = &\{ \text{since } mds x >_d smsp (trim (a : x)) \text{ and} \\ &\quad smsp (trim (a : x)) \geq_d win (a : x), \text{ see below} \} \\ &mds_M x \uparrow_d win (a : x). \end{aligned}$$

The second step is valid because $trim (a : x) \geq_b L$ implies $trim (a : win x) \geq_b L$, to be shown as Corollary B.4. Therefore $win (a : x) = smsp (trim (a : win x))$, and we thus have $smsp (trim (a : x)) \geq_d win (a : x)$ by (2).

That leaves two other possibilities: $mds_M x = Nothing$ or $smsp (trim (a : x)) \geq_d mds x$. For that case we claim that

$$smsp (trim (a : x)) = smsp (trim (a : win x))$$

holds, by Lemma B.2. □

Lemma B.2 (3.3). For non-empty u such that $\text{trim}(u \# x) \geq_b L$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mds}_M x = \text{Nothing} \vee \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# x)) \geq_d \text{mds} x \\ \Rightarrow & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# x)) = \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# \text{win } x)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $u \geq_b U$, both sides of the consequent reduce to $\text{smsp}(\text{trim } u)$. Thus we consider the case when $u <_b U$. The proof is a strong induction on the length of x . The base case is $x = []$ and both sides reduce to $\text{smsp}(\text{trim } u)$.

For the inductive case, we assume that Lemma B.2 holds for x and all lists shorter than x , and attempt to prove Lemma B.2 for $b : x$, where $\text{trim}(u \# b : x) \geq_b L$. The key step is the use of Corollary A.2, and the first few steps basically try to transform the conclusion to the form $\text{smsp}(u \# \dots) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{smsp}(\dots)$ such that Corollary A.2 is applicable. In the proof below we abbreviate $\text{mds}_M x = \text{Nothing} \vee \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) \geq_d \text{mds} x$ to P :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) = \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# \text{win}(b : x))) \\ \Leftarrow & \{ \text{by (13), (6), and (5)} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) \sqsubseteq \text{trim}(u \# \text{win}(b : x)) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{since } u <_b U, \text{ introduce } \text{trim}_u \text{ by (9)} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{trim}_u(\text{win}(b : x)) \\ \equiv & \{ \text{definition of } \text{win} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{trim}_u(\text{wp}(\text{trim}(b : \text{win } x))) \end{aligned}$$

We pause here to do a case analysis. Consider the case when $\text{trim}(b : \text{win } x) <_b L$. Since $\text{trim}(u \# b : x) \geq_b L$, by Lemma B.5 we have $\text{trim}(u \# b : \text{win } x) \geq_b L$. Thus by Lemma B.6, the left-hand side is a prefix of the right-hand side.

If $\text{trim}(b : \text{win } x) \geq_b L$, $\text{wp}(\text{trim}(b : \text{win } x))$ simplifies to $\text{smsp}(\text{trim}(b : \text{win } x))$. Similarly by Lemma B.6, if $\text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x) <_b L$, the prefix relation holds. Thus we can safely apply (12):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{trim}_u(\text{smsp}(\text{trim}(b : \text{win } x))) \\ \Leftarrow & \{ \text{by (12)} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{smsp}(\text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \\ \Leftarrow & \{ \text{by induction: } \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : x)) = \\ & \quad \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : \text{win } x)) \} \\ & \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(u \# b : \text{win } x)) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{smsp}(\text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \wedge P \\ \equiv & \{ \text{since } u <_b U, \text{ introduce } \text{trim}_u \text{ by (9)} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(u \# \text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \sqsubseteq u \# \text{smsp}(\text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \wedge P \\ \Leftarrow & \{ \text{Corollary A.2} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(u \# \text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \geq_d \text{smsp}(\text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \wedge P \\ \equiv & \{ \text{since } u <_b U, \text{ dismiss } \text{trim}_u \text{ by (9)} \} \\ & \text{smsp}(u \# \text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \geq_d \text{smsp}(\text{trim}_u(b : \text{win } x)) \wedge P \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \{ \text{induction} \} \\
&\quad \text{smsp} (\text{trim} (u \# b : x)) \geq_d \text{smsp} (\text{trim}_u (b : \text{win } x)) \wedge P \\
&\Leftarrow \{ \text{since } \text{trim}_u (b : \text{win } x) \sqsubseteq \text{trim} (b : x), \text{ by (3)} \} \\
&\quad \text{smsp} (\text{trim} (u \# b : x)) \geq_d \text{smsp} (\text{trim} (b : x)) \wedge P \\
&\equiv \{ \text{definition of } \text{mds}_M, (b : x) \geq_b L \} \\
&\quad \text{mds}_M (b : x) = \text{Nothing} \vee \text{smsp} (\text{trim} (u \# b : x)) \geq_d \text{mds} (b : x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

B.1 Some Lemmas about Lengths and Widths

To support the proofs above, we need some more lemmas about lengths and widths of lists. We first prove the lemma below:

Lemma B.3. For $x :: [\text{Elem}]$ we have (a) $\text{trim } x \leq_b L \Rightarrow \text{win } x = \text{trim } x$, and (b) $\text{trim } x \geq_b L \Rightarrow \text{win } x \geq_b L$.

Proof. The lemma trivially holds when $x = []$. Consider the case $a : x$.

1. If $\text{trim} (a : x) \leq_b L$, we examine two possibilities:

(a) if $\text{trim } x \leq_b L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{win} (a : x) \\
&= \text{wp} (\text{trim} (a : \text{win } x)) \\
&= \text{wp} (\text{trim} (a : \text{trim } x)) \\
&= \{ \text{by (7)} \} \\
&\quad \text{wp} (\text{trim} (a : x)) \\
&= \{ \text{since } \text{trim} (a : x) \leq_b L \} \\
&= \text{trim} (a : x).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) If $\text{trim } x \geq_b L$, by induction $\text{win } x \geq_b L$. Denote $\text{trim} (a : x)$ by $a : x_1$. Since it is the longest prefix no wider than U , it must be the case that x can be decomposed into $a : x_1 \# [b] \# x_2$, with $a : x_1 \# [b] >_b U$. Since $\text{win } x \geq_b L$ and $x_1 <_b L$, $x_1 \# [b]$ must be a prefix of $\text{win } x$. Therefore we have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{win} (a : x) \\
&= \text{wp} (\text{trim} (a : \text{win } x)) \\
&\sqsubseteq \text{wp} (\text{trim} (a : x_1 \# [b])) \\
&= \text{wp} (a : x_1) \\
&= \text{trim} (a : x).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\text{win} (a : x) \sqsubseteq \text{trim} (a : x)$. Thus $\text{win} (a : x) = \text{trim} (a : x)$.

2. If $\text{trim}(a : x) \geq_b L$, we also examine two possibilities:

- (a) if $\text{trim } x \leq_b L$, by induction $\text{win } x = \text{trim } x$. By a reasoning similar to 1.(a) above, $\text{win}(a : x) = \text{wp}(\text{trim}(a : x))$, which equals $\text{smsp}(\text{trim}(a : x))$ because $\text{trim}(a : x) \geq_b L$, and smsp always returns a prefix at least L units wide.
- (b) If $\text{trim } x \geq_b L$, we reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{trim } x \geq_b L \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \{ \text{induction} \} \\
& \text{win } x \geq_b L \\
\Rightarrow & \quad a : \text{win } x \geq_b L \\
& \quad \{ \text{by (8)} \} \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{trim}(a : \text{win } x) \geq_b L \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{win}(a : x) = \text{smsp}(\text{trim}(a : \text{win } x)) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{win}(a : x) \geq_b L.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary B.4. $\text{trim}(a : x) \geq_b L \Rightarrow \text{trim}(a : \text{win } x) \geq_b L$.

Proof. Extracted from case 2 in the proof of Lemma B.3. □

Lemma B.5. $\text{trim}(u \uparrow x) \geq_b L \Rightarrow \text{trim}(u \uparrow \text{win } x) \geq_b L$.

Lemma B.6. Let x, y be lists of elements and y' a prefix of y such that $y' \geq_b L$. If $\text{trim } y' <_b L$ (or $\text{trim}_x y' <_b L$), then $\text{smsp}(x \uparrow \text{trim } y) \sqsubseteq x \uparrow \text{trim } y'$ (or $\text{smsp}(x \uparrow \text{trim } y) \sqsubseteq x \uparrow \text{trim}_x y'$).

Proof. From $\text{trim } y' <_b L$ and $y' \geq_b L$ we infer that y' can be decomposed into $\text{trim } y' \uparrow [a] \uparrow y''$ such that $\text{trim } y' \uparrow [a] >_b U$ (or $U - \text{breadth } x$). Therefore, we also have $x \uparrow \text{trim } y' \uparrow [a] >_b U$, and thus $\text{smsp}(x \uparrow \text{trim } y)$ cannot extend further than $x \uparrow \text{trim } y'$ (or $x \uparrow \text{trim}_x y'$). □

C Decreasing Right-Skew Partition

Lemma C.1 (5.2). Let z, x_1, x_2 be non-empty lists of elements such that $x_1 \leq_d x_2$, then at least one of $z \uparrow x_1 \leq_d z$ or $z \uparrow x_1 <_d z \uparrow x_1 \uparrow x_2$ is true.

Proof. If $z \geq_d x_1$, we have $z \geq_d z \uparrow x_1$ by (1). If $z <_d x_1$, we reason:

$$\begin{aligned}
& z <_d x_1 \leq_d x_2 \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \{ (1) \} \\
& z <_d z \uparrow x_1 <_d x_1 \leq_d x_2 \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \{ (1) \} \\
& z \uparrow x_1 <_d z \uparrow x_1 \uparrow x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma C.2 (5.1). $z \# smwr\ z (\Lambda prefix\ x) = z \uparrow_d (z \# x)$ if x is right-skew.

Proof. A naïve induction like the following won't work:

$$\begin{aligned} & z \# smwr\ z (\Lambda prefix\ (a : x)) \\ &= z \uparrow_d z \# [a] \# smwr\ (z \# [a]) (\Lambda prefix\ x) \\ &= \{ \text{induction} \} \\ & \dots \end{aligned}$$

because $a : x$ being right-screw doesn't imply that x is right-screw. We prove a generalised lemma: given two list x and y , if $y \# x_1 \leq_d x_2$ for all x_1, x_2 such that $x_1 \# x_2 = x$ and x_2 is non-empty, then we have

$$z \# smwr\ z (\mathbf{E}(y\#)) (\Lambda prefix\ x) = (z \# y) \uparrow_d (z \# y \# x).$$

This can be proved using a simple structural induction on x . The base cases are $x = []$ or x is a singleton list. For the inductive case, we assume that

$$y \# x_1 \leq_d x_2 \text{ for all } x_1 \# x_2 = a : x \text{ with } x_2 \text{ non-empty,} \quad (14)$$

and reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & z \# smwr\ z (\mathbf{E}(y\#)) (\Lambda prefix\ (a : x)) \\ &= z \# smwr\ z (\mathbf{E}(y\#)) (\{[]\} \cup (\mathbf{E}(a : (\Lambda prefix\ x)))) \\ &= (z \# y) \uparrow_d (z \# smwr\ z (\mathbf{E}(y \# [a]\#)) (\Lambda prefix\ x)) \\ &= \{ \text{induction, since (14) implies} \\ & \quad y \# [a] \# x'_1 \leq_d x'_2 \text{ for all } x'_1 \# x'_2 = x \} \\ & \quad (z \# y) \uparrow_d (z \# y \# [a]) \uparrow_d (z \# y \# [a] \# x) \\ &= \{ \text{by Lemma C.1, since (14) implies } y \# [a] \leq_d x \} \\ & \quad (z \# y) \uparrow_d (z \# y \# [a] \# x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem C.3 (5.3). $smwr\ z (\Lambda prefix\ (concat\ xs)) = smwr\ z (opts\ xs)$, if *all rightskew xs* holds.

Proof. Note that $smwr$ satisfies this property:

$$smwr\ z (\mathbf{E}(x\#)xs) = x \# smwr\ (z \# x)\ xs. \quad (15)$$

We prove a generalisation: if *all rightskew xs*, then

$$z \# smwr\ z (\Lambda prefix\ (concat\ xs)) = z \# smwr\ z (opts\ xs).$$

The proof is an induction on xs . The case for $xs = []$ is trivial. For the case $x : xs$, we reason:

$$z \# smwr\ z (opts\ (x : xs))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= z \# smwr z (\{[], x\} \cup E(x\#)) (opts xs) \\
&= z \uparrow_d (z \# x) \uparrow_d z \# smwr z (E(x\#)) (opts xs) \\
&= \{ \text{by (15)} \} \\
&\quad z \uparrow_d (z \# x) \uparrow_d z \# x \# smwr (z \# x) (opts xs) \\
&= \{ xs \text{ is a DRSP, induction} \} \\
&\quad z \uparrow_d (z \# x) \uparrow_d z \# x \# smwr (z \# x) (\Lambda prefix (concat xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{since } x \text{ is right-skew, Lemma C.2} \} \\
&\quad z \# smwr z (\Lambda prefix x) \uparrow_d z \# x \# smwr (z \# x) (\Lambda prefix (concat xs)) \\
&= \{ \text{by (15)} \} \\
&\quad z \# smwr z (\Lambda prefix x) \uparrow_d z \# smwr z (E(x\#)) (\Lambda prefix (concat xs)) \\
&= z \# smwr z (\Lambda prefix x \cup E(x\#)) (\Lambda prefix (concat xs)) \\
&= z \# smwr z (\Lambda prefix (x \# concat xs)) \\
&= z \# smwr z (\Lambda prefix (concat (x : xs))).
\end{aligned}$$

□

D MDS with an Upper Bound

The window for the second algorithm is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
win2 &:: [Elem] \rightarrow ([Elem], [Elem], [Elem]) \\
win2 [] &= ([], [], []) \\
win2 (a : x) &= wp2 (trim2 (a \triangleright win2 x)) \\
&\quad \mathbf{where} \ a \triangleright (w, y, v) = (a : w, y, v).
\end{aligned}$$

The main theorem is:

Theorem D.1 (8.1). $mds_M (a : x) = mds_M x \uparrow\uparrow_d win2 (a : x)$, where $x \uparrow\uparrow_d (w, y, z) = x \uparrow_d (w \# y \# z)$.

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem B.1. After some case analysis we end up having to prove:

$$\begin{aligned}
&mds_M x = Nothing \vee smsp (trim (a : x)) \geq_d mds \\
&\Rightarrow smsp (trim (a : x)) = win2 (a : x),
\end{aligned}$$

A special case of Corollary D.3, to be shown later, states that the antecedent also implies $win2 (a : x) = smsp (trim (a \triangleright win2 x))$, that is, the outer-most step of $win2$ can be replaced by $smsp \circ trim \circ (a \triangleright)$. Thus we only have to prove, under the same antecedent, that

$$smsp (trim (a : x)) = smsp (trim (a \triangleright win2 x)),$$

which is generalised and proved as Lemma D.2 below. □

Lemma D.2 (8.3). If $smsp(trim(u \dashv x))$ and either $mds_M x = \text{Nothing}$ or $smsp(trim(u \dashv x)) \geq_d mds x$, we have

$$smsp(trim(u \dashv x)) = smsp(trim(u \triangleright win2 x)), \quad (16)$$

where $u \triangleright (w, y, z) = u \dashv w \dashv y \dashv z$.

Proof. This is a proof similar to that of Theorem B.2. The case when $x = []$ is easily dismissed. We look at the inductive case $b : x$. In the proof below we denote $mds_M x = \text{Nothing} \vee smsp(trim(u \dashv b : x)) \geq_d mds x$ by P , and overload $trim_u$ to a function from a window to a list — $trim_u(w, x, y) = trim_u(w \dashv x \dashv y)$. The heuristic is to transform the expression so that Corollary A.2 is applicable:

$$\begin{aligned}
& smsp(trim(u \dashv b : x)) = smsp(trim(u \triangleright win2(b : x))) \\
\Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{by (5)} \} \\
& smsp(trim(u \dashv b : x)) \sqsubseteq trim(u \triangleright win2(b : x)) \\
\Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{induction} \} \\
& smsp(trim((u \dashv [b]) \triangleright win2 x)) \sqsubseteq trim(u \triangleright win2(b : x)) \wedge P \\
\equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } u <_b U, \text{ introduce } trim_u \text{ by (9)} \} \\
& smsp(u \dashv trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \sqsubseteq u \dashv trim_u(win2(b : x)) \wedge P \\
\Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{Lemma D.3, see below} \} \\
& smsp(u \dashv trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \sqsubseteq u \dashv smsp(trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \wedge P \\
\Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{by Corollary A.2} \} \\
& smsp(u \dashv trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \geq_d smsp(trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \wedge P \\
\equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } u <_b U, \text{ dismiss } trim_u \text{ by (9)} \} \\
& smsp(trim(u \dashv b \triangleright win2 x)) \geq_d smsp(trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \wedge P \\
\equiv & \quad \{ \text{induction} \} \\
& smsp(trim(u \dashv b : x)) \geq_d smsp(trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \wedge P \\
\Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{since } trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x) \sqsubseteq trim(b : x) \} \\
& smsp(trim(u \dashv b : x)) \geq_d smsp(trim(b : x)) \wedge P \\
\Leftarrow & \quad mds_M(b : x) = \text{Nothing} \vee smsp(trim(u \dashv b : x)) \geq_d mds(b : x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma D.3 allows us to convert one application of $smsp \circ trim \circ (a \triangleright)$ to one step of $win2$. The difference is that the latter, when given a window (w, y, z) , ignores y .

Lemma D.3. If $mds_M x = \text{Nothing}$ or $smsp(trim(u \dashv b \triangleright win2 x)) \geq_d mds x$, then we have that for all u such that $u <_b U$:

$$smsp(trim_u(b \triangleright win2 x)) \sqsubseteq trim_u(win2(b : x)).$$

Before we go on, it helps to recall that the function $wp2$ calls $smsp2$ if the window is at least L units wide:

$$wp2 (w, y, v) \mid \begin{array}{l} L \leq_b (w \# y \# v) = smsp2 (w, y, v) \\ \text{otherwise} = (w, y, v). \end{array}$$

Given a window (w, y, v) , $smsp2$ respectively applies $smsp$ to w and applies $smwr (w \# y)$ to prefixes of v :

$$smsp2 (w, y, v) = \mathbf{let} \ w' = smsp \ w \\ \quad \quad \quad v' = smwr (w \# y) (\Delta_{prefix} v) \\ \mathbf{in} \ (w', [], []) \uparrow_d (w', (w - w') \# y, v').$$

The function $trim2 :: ([Elem], [Elem], [Elem]) \rightarrow ([Elem], [Elem], [Elem])$ satisfies the following constraints.

1. If $(w, y, v) \leq_b L$, then $trim2 (w, y, v) = (w \# y \# v, [], [])$.
2. If $w \# y \leq_b U$, $trim2 (w, y, v)$ leaves w and y unchanged, but may perform some trimming in v if necessary;
3. otherwise y and v are entirely dropped, and $trim2$ returns some $(w', [], v')$ with $w' \# v' = trim \ w$, to mimic in advance the behaviour that some $PTrees$ might be spun off from the $DForest$. The list w' must be at least L units broad if that is the case for $w' \# v'$.

Proof of Lemma D.3. Let $(w, y, z) = win2 \ x$. We first find out what $win2 (b : x) = wp2 (trim2 (b \triangleright (w, y, z)))$ could be:

1. [**Case** $(b : w, y, z) \leq_b L$]: For this case, $wp2 (trim2 (b : w, y, z)) = (b : w \# y \# z, [], [])$.
2. [**Case** $(b : w, y, z) >_b L \wedge (b : w \# y) >_b U$]: For this case, $trim2 (b : w, y, z) = (b : w_1, [], w_2)$ where $b : w_1 \# w_2 = trim (b : w)$. The function $smsp2$ then returns either
 - (a) some $(smsp (b : w_1), y', w'_2)$ such that $smsp (b : w_1) \# y' = b : w_1$ and w'_2 is the shortest optimal prefix of w_2 , if $b : w_1 \# w'_2$ is denser than $(smsp (b : w_1), [])$, or
 - (b) $(smsp (b : w_1), [], [])$, otherwise.

Either way, the returned window flattens to: $smsp (trim (b : w))$.

3. [**Case** $(b : w, y, z) >_b L \wedge (b : w \# y) \leq_b U$]: For this case, $trim2 (b : w, y, z) = (b : w, y, z_1)$ where z_1 is a prefix of z . The function $smsp2$ then returns either some
 - (a) $(smsp (b : w), y', z'_1)$ such that $smsp (b : w) \# y' = b : w \# y$ and z'_1 is the shortest optimal prefix of z_1 , if $b : w \# y \# z'_1$ is denser than $smsp (b : w)$, or

(b) ($smsp (b : w), [], []$), otherwise.

Case 1 contradicts our implicit assumption that $smsp (trim_u (b \triangleright win2 x))$ is defined. If it is the case of 3(a), $b : w + y + z'_1$ is the optimal prefix of $b : w + y + z$, and $smsp (trim_u (b : w + y + z))$ should return the same result too.

For cases 2 and 3(b), it is sufficient to prove:

$$smsp (trim_u (b : w + y)) \sqsubseteq smsp (trim (b : w))$$

under the given assumption. Since $trim_u (b : w + y) \sqsubseteq trim (b : w + y)$, it is implied by Lemma D.4 below. \square

Lemma D.4 (8.2). Let $(w, y, z) = win2 x$, we have:

$$smsp (trim (u + w + y)) = smsp (trim (u + w)),$$

if $mds_m x = Nothing$ or $smsp (trim (u + w + y)) \geq_d mds x$.

Proof. The proof is an induction on x and is similar to that of Theorem B.2. When $x = []$, both sides reduce to $smsp (trim u)$.

For the case $b : x$, let $(w, y, z) = win2 x$, thus $b \triangleright win2 x = (b : w, y, z)$, and $win2 (b : x) = wp2 (trim2 (b : w, y, z))$. We do a case analysis similar to that in the previous lemma. It will turn out that we only need to prove:

$$smsp (trim (u + b : w + y)) = smsp (trim (u + b : w))$$

under the assumption that $mds_m (b : x) = Nothing$ or $smsp (trim (u + b : w + y)) \geq_d mds (b : x)$.

Both sides reduce to $smsp (trim u)$ if $u \geq_b U$, so we assume $u <_b U$. We abbreviate $mds_m x = Nothing \vee smsp (trim (u + b : w + y)) >_d mds x$ to P :

$$\begin{aligned} & smsp (trim (u + b : w + y)) = smsp (trim (u + smsp (b : w))) \\ \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{by (5)} \} \\ & smsp (trim (u + b : w + y)) \sqsubseteq trim (u + smsp (b : w)) \\ \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{induction} \} \\ & smsp (trim (u + b : w)) \sqsubseteq trim (u + smsp (b : w)) \wedge P \\ \equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } u <_b U \} \\ & smsp (u + trim_u (b : w)) \sqsubseteq u + trim_u (smsp (b : w)) \wedge P \\ \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{by (11)} \} \\ & smsp (u + trim_u (b : w)) \sqsubseteq u + smsp (trim_u (b : w)) \wedge P \\ \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{by Corollary A.2} \} \\ & smsp (u + trim_u (b : w)) \geq_d smsp (trim_u (b : w)) \wedge P \\ \equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } u <_b U \} \\ & smsp (trim (u + b : w)) \geq_d smsp (trim_u (b : w)) \wedge P \\ \equiv & \quad \{ \text{induction} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& smsp (trim (u ++ b : w ++ y)) \geq_d smsp (trim_u (b : w)) \wedge P \\
\equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } trim_u (b : w) \sqsubseteq trim x \} \\
& smsp (trim (u ++ b : w ++ y)) \geq_d smsp (trim x) \wedge P \\
\Leftarrow & mds_m (b : x) = Nothing \vee smsp (trim (u ++ b : x ++ y)) \geq_d mds (b : x).
\end{aligned}$$

□