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## Main tasks

- Read the input characters and produce as output a sequence of tokens to be used by the parser for syntax analysis.
- tokens: terminal symbols in grammar.
- Lexeme: a sequence of characters matched by a given pattern associated with a token.
- Examples:

- patterns:
$\triangleright$ identifier (variable name) starts with a letter or "_", and follows by letters, digits or "_";
$\triangleright$ floating point number starts with a string of digits, follows by a dot, and terminates with another string of digits;


## Strings

- Definitions.
- alphabet : a finite set of symbols or characters;
- string : a finite sequence of symbols chosen from the alphabet;
- $|S|$ : length of a string $S$;
- empty string: $\epsilon$;

Operations.

- concatenation of strings $x$ and $y: x y$
$\triangleright \epsilon x \equiv x \epsilon \equiv x ;$
- exponention

```
\(\triangleright s^{0} \equiv \epsilon ;\)
\(\triangleright s^{i} \equiv s^{i-1} s, i>0\).
```


## Parts of a string

- Parts of a string: example string "necessary"
- prefix : deleting zero or more tailing characters; eg: "nece"
- suffix : deleting zero or more leading characters; eg: "ssary"
- substring : deleting prefix and suffix; eg: "ssa"
- subsequence : deleting zero or more not necessarily contiguous symbols; eg: "ncsay"
- proper prefix, suffix, substring or subsequence: one that cannot equal to the original string;


## Language

Language : any set of strings over an alphabet.
Operations on languages:

- union: $L \cup M=\{s \mid s \in L$ or $s \in M\}$;
- concatenation: $L M=\{s t \mid s \in L$ and $t \in M\}$;
- $L^{0}=\{\epsilon\}$;
- $L^{1}=L$;
- $L^{i}=L L^{i-1}$ if $i>1$;
- Kleene closure : $L^{*}=\cup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^{i}$;
- Positive closure : $L^{+}=\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{i}$;
- $L^{*}=L^{+} \cup\{\epsilon\}$.


## Regular expressions

- A regular expression $r$ denotes a language $L(r)$ which is also called a regular set. [Kleene 1956]
- Operations on regular expressions:

| regular expression | language |
| :---: | :---: |
| ¢ | empty set $\}$ |
| $\epsilon$ | $\{\epsilon\}$ where $\epsilon$ is the empty string |
| $a$ | $\{a\}$ where $a$ is a legal symbol |
| $r \mid s$ | $L(r) \cup L(s)-$ union |
| rs | $L(r) L(s)$ - concatenation |
| $r^{*}$ | $L(r)^{*}$ - Kleene closure |
| $\begin{aligned} & a \mid b \\ & (a \mid b)(a \mid b) \\ & a^{*} \\ & a \mid a^{*} b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \{a, b\} \\ & \{a a, a b, b a, b b\} \\ & \{\epsilon, a, a a, a a a, \ldots\} \\ & \{a, b, a b, a a b, \ldots\} \end{aligned}$ |

## Regular definitions

- For simplicity, give names to regular expressions and use names later in defining other regular expressions.
- similar to the idea of macros or subroutine calls without parameters
- format:

```
\triangleright ~ n a m e ~ \longrightarrow ~ r e g u l a r ~ e x p r e s s i o n ~
```

- examples:

```
\triangleright ~ d i g i t ~ \rightarrow 0 ~ \| ~ 1 ~ \| ~ 2 \| . . ~ \| ~ 9 ~
\triangleright ~ l e t t e r ~ \rightarrow a \| b \| c \| \cdots \| z \| A \| B \| \cdots \| Z
```

Notational standards:

| $\{r\}$ | $r$ is a regular definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| $r^{*}$ | $r^{+} \mid \epsilon$ |
| $r^{+}$ | $r r^{*}$ |
| $r ?$ | $r \mid \epsilon$ |
| $[a b c]$ | $a\|b\| c$ |
| $[a-z]$ | $a\|b\| c\|\cdots\| z$ |

- Example: C variable name
- $\left[A-Z a-z_{-}\right]\left[A-Z a-z 0-9_{-}\right]^{*}$
- [\{letter $\left.\}_{-}\right][$letter $\left.\}\{\text {digit }\}_{-}\right]^{*}$


## Non-regular sets

- Balanced or nested construct
- Example:
if $\operatorname{cond}_{1}$ then if $\operatorname{cond}_{2}$ then $\cdots$ else ... else ...
- Can be recognized by context free grammars.
- Matching strings:
- $\{w c w\}$, where $w$ is a string of $a$ 's and $b$ 's and $c$ is a legal symbol.
- Cannot be recognized even using context free grammars.
- Remark: anything that needs to "memorize" "non-constant" amount of information happened in the past cannot be recognized by regular expressions.


## Finite state automata (FA)

- FA is a mechanism used to recognize tokens specified by a regular expression.
- Definition:
- A finite set of states, i.e., vertices.
- A set of transitions, labeled by characters, i.e., labeled directed edges.
- A starting state, i.e., a vertex with an incoming edge marked with "start".
- A set of final (accepting) states, i.e., vertices of concentric circles.
- Example: transition graph for the regular expression $\left(a b c^{+}\right)^{+}$



## Transition graph and table for FA

- Transition graph:

- Transition table:

|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |

- Rows are input symbols.
- Columns are current states.
- Entries are resulting states.
- Along with the table, a starting state and a set of accepting states are also given.
This is also called a GOTO table.


## Types of FA's

- Deterministic FA (DFA):
- has a unique next state for a transition
- and does not contain $\epsilon$-transitions, that is, a transition takes $\epsilon$ as the input symbol.
Nondeterministic FA (NFA):
- either "could have more than one next state for a transition;"
- or "contains $\epsilon$-transitions."
- Example: $a a^{*} \mid b b^{*}$.



## How to execute a DFA

- Algorithm:
$s \leftarrow$ starting state;
while there are inputs and $s$ is a legal state do

$$
s \leftarrow \text { Table }[s, \text { input }]
$$

end while
if $s \in$ accepting states then ACCEPT else REJECT

- Example: input "abccabc". The accepting path:

$$
0 \xrightarrow{a} 1 \xrightarrow{b} 2 \xrightarrow{c} 3 \xrightarrow{c} 3 \xrightarrow{a} 1 \xrightarrow{b} 2 \xrightarrow{c} 3
$$



## How to execute an NFA (informally)

- An NFA accepts an input string $x$ if and only if there is some path in the transition graph initiating from the starting state to some accepting state such that the edge labels along the path spell out $x$.
- Could have more than one path. (Note DFA has at most one.)
- Example: regular expression: $(a \mid b)^{*} a b b$; input $a a b b$


|  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\{0,1\}$ | $\{0\}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\{2\}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | $\{3\}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{a} 1 \xrightarrow{b} 2 \xrightarrow{b} 3 \text { Accept! } \\
& 0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{b} 0 \xrightarrow{b} 0 \text { Reject! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## From regular expressions to NFA's

- Structural decomposition:
- atomic items: $\emptyset, \epsilon$ and a legal symbol.



## Example: $(a \mid b)^{*}((a b) b)$



- This construction produces only $\epsilon$-transitions, and never produce multiple transitions for an input symbol.
- It is possible to remove all $\epsilon$-transitions from an NFA and replace them with multiple transitions for an input symbol, and vice versa.
- Theorem [McNaughton \& Yamada 1960 - Thompson 1969]:
- Any regular expression can be expressed by an NFA.


## Converting an NFA to a DFA

Definitions: let $T$ be a set of states and $a$ be an input symbol.

- $\epsilon$-closure( $T$ ): the set of NFA states reachable from some state $s \in T$ using $\epsilon$-transitions.
- move $(T, a)$ : the set of NFA states to which there is a transition on the input symbol $a$ from state $s \in T$.
- Both can be computed using standard graph algorithms.
- $\epsilon$-closure $(\operatorname{move}(T, a))$ : the set of states reachable from a state in $T$ for the input $a$.
Example: NFA for $(a \mid b)^{*}((a b) b)$

- $\epsilon$-closure $(\{0\})=\{0,1,2,4,6,7\}$, that is, the set of all possible starting states
- move $(\{2,7\}, a)=\{3,8\}$


## Subset construction algorithm

- In the converted DFA, each state represents a subset of NFA states.
- $T \xrightarrow{a} \epsilon$-closure $(\operatorname{move}(T, a))$

Subset construction algorithm : [Rabin \& Scott 1959] initially, we have an unmarked state labeled with $\epsilon$-closure $\left(\left\{s_{0}\right\}\right)$, where $s_{0}$ is the starting state.
while there is an unmarked state with the label $T$ do
$\triangleright$ mark the state with the label $T$
$\triangleright$ for each input symbol a do
$\triangleright \quad U \leftarrow \epsilon$-closure $($ move $(T, a))$
$\triangleright \quad$ if $U$ is a subset of states that is never seen before
$\triangleright \quad$ then add an unmarked state with the label $U$
$\triangleright$ end for
end while
New accepting states: those contain an original accepting state.

## Example (1/2)



First step:

- $\epsilon$-closure $(\{0\})=\{0,1,2,4,6,7\}$
- $\operatorname{move}(\{0,1,2,4,6,7\}, a)=\{3,8\}$
- $\epsilon$-closure $(\{3,8\})=$ \{0,1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9\}
- $\operatorname{move}(\{0,1,2,4,6,7\}, b)=\{5\}$

- $\epsilon$-closure $(\{5\})=\{0,1,2,4,5,6,7\}$


## Example (2/2)



## transition table:

states:

- $A=\{0,1,2,4,6,7\}$
- $B=\{0,1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9\}$
- $C=\{0,1,2,4,5,6,7,10,11\}$
- $D=\{0,1,2,4,5,6,7\}$
- $E=\{0,1,2,4,5,6,7,12\}$

|  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | D |
| B | B | C |
| C | B | E |
| D | B | D |
| E | B | D |



## Construction theorems (1)

- Facts:
- Lemma [McNaughton \& Yamada 1960 - Thompson 1969]:
$\triangleright$ Any regular expression can be expressed by an NFA.
- Lemma [Rabin \& Scott 1959]
$\triangleright$ Any NFA can be converted into a DFA.
$\triangleright$ By using the Subset Construction Algorithm.
- Conclusion:
- Theorem: Any regular expression can be expressed by a DFA.

Note: It is possible to convert a regular expression directly into a DFA [Huffman-Moore 1956].

## Construction theorems (II)

- Facts:
- Theorem [previous slide]: Any regular expression can be expressed by a DFA.
- Lemma [McNaughton \& Yamada 1960]: Every DFA can be expressed as a regular expression.
$\triangleright$ Number the states from 1 to $n$.
$\triangleright$ Try to enumerate the set of substrings recognized starting from state $i$ to state $j$ by passing through states less than $k$.
$\triangleright$ Proof by induction on $k$.
- Conclusion:
- Theorem: DFA and regular expression have the same expressive power.
- How about the power of DFA and NFA?


## Algorithm for executing an NFA

- Algorithm: $s_{0}$ is the starting state, $F$ is the set of accepting states.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \leftarrow \epsilon \text {-closure }\left(\left\{s_{0}\right\}\right) \\
& \text { while next input } a \text { is not EOF do } \\
& \quad \triangleright S \leftarrow \epsilon \text {-closure }(\operatorname{move}(S, a)) \\
& \text { end while } \\
& \text { if } S \cap F \neq \emptyset \text { then ACCEPT else REJECT }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Execution time is $O\left(r^{2} \cdot s\right)$, where
$\triangleright r$ is the number of NFA states, and $s$ is the length of the input.
$\triangleright$ Need $O\left(r^{2}\right)$ time in running $\epsilon$-closure $(T)$ assuming using an adjacency matrix representation and a constant-time hashing routine with lineartime preprocessing to remove duplicated states.
- Space complexity is $O\left(r^{2} \cdot c\right)$ using a standard adjacency matrix representation for graphs, where $c$ is the cardinality of the alphabet.
- Have better algorithms by using compact data structures and techniques.


## Trade-off in executing NFA's

- Can also convert an NFA to a DFA and then execute the equivalent DFA.
- Running time: linear in the input size.
- Space requirement: linear in the size of the DFA.
- Catch:
- May get $O\left(2^{r}\right)$ DFA states by converting an $r$-state NFA.
- The converting algorithm may also take $O\left(2^{r} \cdot c\right)$ time in the worst case.
$\triangleright$ For typical cases, the execution time is $O\left(r^{3}\right)$.

|  |  | space | time |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Time-space tradeoff: | NFA | $O\left(r^{2} \cdot c\right)$ | $O\left(r^{2} \cdot s\right)$ |
|  | DFA | $O\left(2^{r} \cdot c\right)$ | $O(s)$ |

- If memory is cheap or programs will be used many times, then use the DFA approach;
- otherwise, use the NFA approach.


## LEX

- An UNIX utility [Lesk 1975].
- It has been ported to lots of OS's and platforms.
$\triangleright$ Flex (GNU version), and JFlex and JLex (Java versions).
- An easy way to use regular expressions to specify "patterns".
- Convert your LEX program into an equivalent C program.
- Depending on implementation, may use NFA or DFA algorithms.
- file.I $\longrightarrow$ lex file.I $\longrightarrow$ lex.yy.c
$\triangle$ lex.yy.c $\longrightarrow$ cc -II lex.yy.c $\longrightarrow$ a.out
- May produce .o file if there is no main().
- input $\longrightarrow$ a.out $\longrightarrow$ output a sequence of tokens
- May have slightly different implementations and libraries.


## LEX formats (1/2)

- Source format:
- Declarations -- a set of regular definitions, i.e., names and their regular expressions.
- \%\%
- Translation rules - actions to be taken when patterns are encountered.
- \%\%
- Auxiliary procedures
- Global variables:
- yyleng: length of current string
- yytext: current string
- yylex(): the scanner routine
- ...


## LEX formats (2/2)

Declarations:

- C language code between \%\{ and \%\}.
$\triangleright$ variables;
$\triangleright$ manifest constants, i.e., identifiers declared to represent constants.
- Regular expressions.
- Translation rules:
$P_{1}\left\{\right.$ action $\left._{1}\right\}$
if regular expression $P_{1}$ is encountered, then action $_{1}$ is performed.
- LEX internals:
- regular expressions $\longrightarrow$ NFA ${ }^{\text {if needed }}$ DFA
- regular expressions $\xrightarrow{\text { directly }}$ DFA


## test.I - Declarations

```
%{
    /* some initial C programs */
#define BEGINSYM 1
#define INTEGER 2
#define IDNAME 3
#define REAL 4
#define STRING 5
#define SEMICOLONSYM 6
#define ASSIGNSYM 7
%}
Digit [0-9]
Letter [a-zA-Z]
IntLit {Digit}+
Id {Letter}({Letter}|{Digit}|_)*
```


## test.I — Rules

```
%%
[ \t\n] {/* skip white spaces */}
[Bb] [Ee] [Gg] [Ii] [Nn]
{IntLit}
{Id}
{
    printf("var has %d characters, ",yyleng);
    return(IDNAME);
    }
({IntLit}[.]{IntLit})([Ee][+-]?{IntLit})? {return(REAL);}
\"[^\"\n]*\" {stripquotes(); return(STRING);}
";"
":="
                            {return(SEMICOLONSYM);}
    {return(ASSIGNSYM);}
    {printf("error --- %s\n",yytext);}
```


## test.I - Procedures

```
%%
/* some final C programs */
stripquotes()
{
    /* handling string within a quoted string */
    int frompos, topos=0, numquotes = 2;
    for(frompos=1; frompos<yyleng; frompos++){
        yytext[topos++] = yytext[frompos];
    }
    yyleng -= numquotes;
    yytext[yyleng] = '\0';
}
void main(){
    int i;
    i = yylex();
    while(i>0 && i < 8){
        printf("<%s> is %d\n",yytext,i);
        i = yylex(); } }
```


## Sample run

```
austin% lex test.l
austin% cc lex.yy.c -ll
austin% cat data
Begin
123.3 321.4E21
x := 365;
"this is a string"
austin% a.out < data
<Begin> is 1
<123.3> is 4
<321.4E21> is 4
var has 1 characters, <x> is 3
<:=> is 7
<365> is 2
<;> is 6
<this is a string> is 5
%austin
```


## More LEX formats

- Special format requirement:
$P_{1}$

| $\left\{\right.$ action $_{1}$ |
| :--- |
| $\cdots$ |
| $\}$ |

Note: \{ and \} must indent.

- LEX special characters (operators):



## LEX internals

- LEX code:
- regular expression \#1 \{action \#1\}
- regular expression \#2 \{action \#2\}
- .



## Ambiguity in matching (1/2)

Definition:

- either for a given prefix of the input output "accept" for more than one pattern, or
$\triangleright$ The languages defined by two patterns have some intersection.
- output 'accept" for two different prefixes.
$\triangleright$ An element in a language is a proper prefix of another element in a different language.
- When there is any ambiguity in matching, prefer
- longest possible match;
- earlier expression if all matches are of equal length.
- White space is needed only when there is a chance of ambiguity.


## Ambiguity in matching (2/2)

- How to find a longest possible match if there are many legal matches?
- If an accepting state is encountered, do not immediately accept.
- Push this accepting state and the current input position into a stack and keep on going until no more matches is possible.
- Pop from the stack and execute the actions for the popped accepting state.
- Resume the scanning from the popped current input position.
- How to find the earliest match if all matches are of equal length?
- Assign numbers $1,2, \ldots$ to the accepting states using the order they appear (from top to bottom) in the expressions.
- If you are in multiple accepting states, execute the action associated with the least indexed accepting state.
- What does yylex () do?
- Find the longest possible prefix from the current input stream that can be accepted by "the regular expression" defined.
- Extract this matched prefix from the input stream and assign its token meaning according to rules discussed.


## Practical considerations (1/2)

## key word v.s. reserved word

- key word:
$\triangleright$ def: word has a well-defined meaning in a certain context.
$\triangleright$ example: FORTRAN, PL/1, . .
if if then else $=$ then ;
id id id
$\triangleright$ Makes compiler to work harder!
- reserved word:
$\triangleright$ def: regardless of context, word cannot be used for other purposes.
$\triangleright$ example: COBOL, ALGOL, PASCAL, C, ADA, ..
$\triangleright$ task of compiler is simpler
$\triangleright$ reserved words cannot be used as identifiers
$\triangleright$ listing of reserved words is tedious for the scanner, also makes the scanner larger
$\triangleright$ solution: treat them as identifiers, and use a table to check whether it is a reserved word.


## Practical considerations (2/2)

- Multi-character lookahead : how many more characters ahead do you have to look in order to decide which pattern to match?
- Extensions to regular expression when there are ambiguity in matching.
- FORTRAN: lookahead until difference is seen without counting blanks.
- DO 10 I $=1,15 \equiv$ a loop statement.
- DO 10 I = $1.15 \equiv$ an assignment statement for the variable DO10I.
- PASCAL: lookahead 2 characters with 2 or more blanks treating as one blank.
- 10..100: needs to look 2 characters ahead to decide this is not part of a real number.
- LEX lookahead operator "/": $r_{1} / r_{2}$ : match $r_{1}$ only if it is followed by $r_{2}$; note that $r_{2}$ is not part of the match.
- This operator can be used to cope with multi-character lookahead.
- How is it implemented in LEX?

